

**Human Systems Integration  
BAA 07-013**

**Amendment 4  
14 March 2007**

This amendment provides for a change to the BAA, corrects the answer to a prior question, and provides answers to new questions.

1. Revision to the BAA

Under Section IV, paragraph 2, page 16, the BAA is revised to remove the requirement for Volume I “section” page limitations. The number of pages sited after each section described on pages 16-18 remain in the BAA only as suggested section lengths. The total page limitation for Volume I of 38 pages remains unchanged.

2. Corrected Answer

From the first set of Q&As (Amendment 1) dated 06 March 2007, Answer 2 is revised. The question and revised answer are as follows

Question #2. If a bidder opts to address more than one Capability Gap, are we permitted to submit separate proposals for each Capability Gap? Or do you prefer that we address multiple Capability Gaps within a single proposal?

Corrected Answer #2. The BAA does not restrict Offerors from submitting multiple proposals. Due to this, Offerors may submit separate proposals for each capability gap addressed.

3. Answers to New Questions

Question 1. Will the proposal be evaluated in its entirety, or if we submit to multiple capability gaps, will/can they be selected in isolation?

Answer 1. To the extent a proposal addresses multiple capability gaps and the tasking/costing of the individual capability gaps are severable from the rest of the proposal, then the individual capability gap(s) within that proposal could be selected for award.

Question 2. The government stated that bidders addressing more than one Capability Gap should consolidate such components into a single proposal. If we opt to address more than one Capability Gap, will the government consider making a partial award as long as each Capability Gap is priced independently? For instance, if we bid all 4 Capability Gaps and the government determines that only two of the four are acceptable, will the government make an award based on the two acceptable Capability Gap bids?

Answer 2. See Answer 1 above and the correction to Answer 2 from the first set of Q&As (Amendment 1) dated 06 March 2007 in paragraph 2 above.

Question 3. Section III eligibility information has a paragraph regarding Industry-Academia-Government Partnering where the statement that Government partner(s) funding will not be provided through awards from this announcement but rather transferred directly to that Government entity. Is a similar arrangement possible with UARC organizations?

Answer 3. No. This language does not apply to UARCs.

Question 4: For Gap #1, in support of this research will the government provide access to unmanned vehicles or to their simulators?

Answer 4: In the development of products to meet the desired outcomes listed on page 5 of the BAA, Offerors should not expect the government to furnish access to either their unmanned vehicles or to their simulators.