INTRODUCTION:

This publication constitutes a Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) as contemplated in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 6.102(d)(2) and 35.016, the Department of Defense Grants and Agreements regulations (DoDGARS) 22.315(a) and DoD’s Other Transaction Guide for Prototypes Projects, USD(AT&L), OT Guide, Jan 2001. A formal Request for Proposals (RFP), solicitation, and/or additional information regarding this announcement will not be issued.

The Office of Naval Research (ONR) will not issue paper copies of this announcement. The ONR reserves the right to select for award all some or none of the proposals in response to this announcement. The ONR reserves the right to fund all, some or none of the proposals received under this BAA. ONR provides no funding for direct reimbursement of proposal development costs. Technical and cost proposals (or any other material) submitted in response to this BAA will not be returned. It is the policy of ONR to treat all proposals as sensitive competitive information and to disclose their contents only for the purposes of evaluation.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Agency Name - 

Office of Naval Research,
One Liberty Center
875 N. Randolph Street
Arlington, VA 22203-1995

2. Research Opportunity Title - 

ONR BAA 11-018
3. Program Name -

Noise Induced Hearing Loss

4. Research Opportunity Number -

ONR BAA 11-018

5. Response Date -

Consideration for FY12 program funding:
White Papers: 2 May 2011
Proposals: 3 July 2011

6. Research Opportunity Description -

The Office of Naval Research, Warfighter Protection and Applications Division of the Warfighter Performance Department (Code 342) is soliciting white papers (pre-proposals) and proposals in the following topic areas within the NIHL Program.

Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) and tinnitus are currently the largest areas of disability compensation by the Veterans Administration (VA) and both greatly affect our service members. Hearing deficits have a deleterious impact on operational readiness and service member quality of life. The field of hearing preservation and restoration covers a broad area of research topics. Research in the following areas is required.

1. Capability to preserve and restore hearing.
   a. Studies of hair cell regeneration. This may include stimulation of endogenous cells for replacement of lost cells or transplantation of stem and/or progenitor cells. Endogenous stimulation may include genetic, neurotrophic, pharmacologic, or other approaches. Stem cell research may include adult or embryonic stem cells. (Note: If the offeror anticipates that the use of embryonic stem cells may be needed to perform the proposed research, it must provide adequate information to demonstrate compliance [or a plan of compliance] with applicable executive orders, statutes, regulations, and other guidance on use of pluripotent stem cells. This is expected to include information about how the stem cells to be used in the proposed research were derived.)
   b. Prevention and/or treatment of hair cell loss. This may include pharmacologic approaches, prevention of apoptosis, manipulation of signaling systems, enzyme and/or antioxidant treatment/mimicry, etc., and the development of novel cochlear implant technology.

2. Capability to identify individual/population susceptibility to noise-induced hearing loss/tinnitus
a. Studies on genetics/biomarkers. This may include assessing the variations in DNA sequencing to elucidate genotype single nucleotide polymorphisms that are correlated to NIHL and/or tinnitus, studies on inheritable traits as risk factors, or other biomarker/biometric approaches.

b. Development and validation of assessment tools. This may include studies on the use of otoacoustic emissions, pre-existing hearing loss, or other reliable methods/factors that can rapidly assess susceptibility.

3. Development of tools and methodologies that rapidly assess changes in hearing thresholds and recommend the most effective individual mitigation/treatment strategies.

4. Advanced training tools for the audiologist and service member that demonstrate hearing loss and tinnitus, and that promote the correct use of hearing protection.

5. Surgical and nonsurgical approaches to the treatment of combat-related middle and inner ear trauma, including reconstruction, replacement, or augmentation of hearing structures.

6. Basic research elucidating the etiology of tinnitus.

7. Development of prototype technologies or specifications for prototype development to monitor/reduce individual noise exposure, either through passive/electronic hearing devices or noise exposure reduction.

7. Point(s) of Contact -

Questions of a technical nature should be submitted to:

Name: Kurt Yankaskas (Program Officer)
Address: One Liberty Center
  875 North Randolph Street
  Arlington, VA. 22203-1995
  Code: 342
Email: kurt.d.yankaskas@navy.mil

Name: CDR Matthew Swiergosz, MSC USN
Address: One Liberty Center
  875 North Randolph Street
  Arlington, VA. 22203-1995
  Code: 342
Email: matthew.swiergosz@navy.mil

Questions of a business nature should be submitted to:

Name: Patrick Sisk
Address: One Liberty Center
  875 North Randolph Street
  Arlington, VA. 22203-1995
  Code: 254
Email: patrick.sisk@navy.mil
Note: All UNCLASSIFIED communications shall be submitted via email to the Technical Point of Contract (POC), with a copy to the designated Business POC.

Questions submitted within 2 weeks prior to a deadline may not be answered, and the due date for submission of the white paper and/or full proposal will not be extended.

Amendments will be posted to one or more of the following web pages:


8. Instrument Type(s) -

Awards may take the form of contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, and other transaction agreements, as appropriate.

ONR reserves the right to award a different instrument type if deemed to be in the best interest of the Government.

9. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers -

12.300

10. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Titles -

DOD Basic and Applied Scientific Research

11. Other Information -

Work funded under a BAA may include basic research, applied research and some advanced technology development (ATD). With regard to any restrictions on the conduct or outcome of work funded under this BAA, ONR will follow the guidance on and definition of "contracted fundamental research" as provided in the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) Memorandum of 24 May 2010. As defined therein the definition of "contracted fundamental research", in a DoD contractual context, includes [research performed under] grants and contracts that are (a) funded by Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation Budget Activity 1 (Basic Research), whether performed by universities or industry or (b) funded by Budget Activity 2 (Applied Research) and performed on campus at a university. The research shall not be considered fundamental in those rare and exceptional circumstances where the applied research effort presents a high likelihood of disclosing performance characteristics of military systems or manufacturing technologies that are unique and critical to defense, and where agreement on restrictions have been recorded in the contract or grant.
Pursuant to DoD policy, research performed under grants and contracts that are a) funded by Budget Category 6.2 (Applied Research) and NOT performed on-campus at a university or b) funded by Budget Category 6.3 (Advanced Research) does not meet the definition of “contracted fundamental research.” In conformance with the USD(AT&L) guidance and National Security Decision Direction 189, ONR will place no restriction on the conduct or reporting of unclassified “contracted fundamental research,” except as otherwise required by statute, regulation or Executive Order. For certain research projects, it may be possible that although the research being performed by the prime contractor is restricted research, a subcontractor may be conducting “contracted fundamental research.” In those cases, it is the prime contractor’s responsibility in the proposal to identify and describe the subcontracted unclassified research and include a statement confirming that the work has been scoped, negotiated, and determined to be fundamental research according to the prime contractor and research performer.

Normally, fundamental research is awarded under grants with universities and under contracts with industry. ATD is normally awarded under contracts and may require restrictions during the conduct of the research and DoD pre-publication review of research results due to subject matter sensitivity. As regards to the present BAA, the anticipated Research and Development efforts to be funded will consist of basic research, applied research, and advanced technology development. The funds available to support awards are Budget Activity 1, 2, and 3.

FAR Part 35 restricts the use of the Broad Agency Announcements (BAAs), such as this, to the acquisition of basic and applied research and that portion of advanced technology development not related to the development of a specific system or hardware procurement. Contracts and grants and other assistance agreements made under BAAs are for scientific study and experimentation directed towards advancing the state of the art and increasing knowledge or understanding.

THIS ANNOUNCEMENT IS NOT FOR THE ACQUISITION OF TECHNICAL, ENGINEERING AND OTHER TYPES OF SUPPORT SERVICES.

II. AWARD INFORMATION

The amount and period of performance of each selected proposal will vary depending on the research area and the technical approach to be pursued by the selected offeror. The anticipated period of performance is three (3) years, with the possibility of expansion/extension as a function of performance and the availability of funds.
ONR anticipates that multiple awards will result from this BAA. The typical award will likely be in the range of $250K to $500K per year, but awards outside this range are also possible. For white papers that propose efforts that are considered of particular value to the Navy, but either exceed available budgets or contain certain tasks or applications that aren't desired by the Navy, ONR may suggest a full proposal with reduced effort to fit within expected available budgets or an effort that refocuses the tasks or application of the technology to maximize the benefit to the Navy.

Awards may be funded with Fiscal Year 2010 basic research appropriations and, if so, will be subject to the reimbursement limit on indirect costs set forth in Section 8101 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2010 (P.L. 111-118). Indirect costs for basic research may not exceed 35% of the total funds provided.

For the past three years Congress has placed limits on the percentage of indirect costs that can be paid by the government using basic research (6.1) funds. Currently indirect costs paid under contracts and grants for the performance of basic research may not exceed 35 percent. It is unknown at present whether a similar indirect cost restriction will apply to 6.1 funds in FY 2011 or thereafter.

In the case of proposals funded as basic research, ONR may utilize peer reviewers from academia, industry, and Government agencies to assist in the periodic appraisal of performance under the awards, as outlined in ONR Instruction 3966.1. Such periodic program reviews monitor the cost, schedule and technical performance of funded basic research efforts. The reviews are used in part to determine which basic research projects will receive continued ONR funding. Peer reviewers who are not U.S. Government employees must sign nondisclosure agreements before receiving full or partial copies of proposals and reports submitted by the basic research performers.

In the case of funded proposals for the production and testing of prototypes, ONR may during the contract period add a contract line item or contract option for the provision of advanced component development or for the delivery of additional prototype units. However, such a contract addition shall be subject to the limitations contained in Section 819 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

III. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

All responsible sources from academia and industry may submit proposals under this BAA. Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) and Minority Institutions (MIs) are encouraged to submit proposals and join others in submitting proposals. However, no portion of this BAA will be set aside for HBCU and MI participation.

Federally Funded Research & Development Centers (FFRDCs), including Department of Energy National Laboratories, are not eligible to receive awards under this BAA. However, teaming arrangements between FFRDCs and eligible principal bidders are allowed so long as they are permitted under the sponsoring agreement between the Government and the specific FFRDC.
Navy laboratories and warfare centers as well as other Department of Defense and civilian agency laboratories are also not eligible to receive awards under this BAA and should not directly submit either white papers or full proposals in response to this BAA. If any such organization is interested in one or more of the programs described herein, the organization should contact an appropriate ONR POC to discuss its area of interest. The various scientific divisions of ONR are identified at http://www.onr.navy.mil/. As with FFRDCs, these types of federal organizations may team with other responsible sources from academia and industry that are submitting proposals under this BAA.

Teams are also encouraged and may submit proposals in any and all areas. However, Offerors must be willing to cooperate and exchange software, data and other information in an integrated program with other contractors, as well as with system integrators, selected by ONR.

For Grant, Cooperative Agreement and Other Transaction Agreement applications:

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), as amended by Section 6202 of Public Law 110-252, requires that all agencies establish requirements for recipients reporting information on subawards and executive total compensation as codified in 2 CFR 170.110. Any company, non-profit agency or university that applies for financial assistance (either grants, cooperative agreements or other transaction agreements) as either a prime or sub-recipient under this BAA must provide information in their proposal that describes the necessary processes and systems in place to comply with the reporting requirements identified in 2 CFR 170.220 and Appendix A. Entities are exempt from this requirement UNLESS in the preceding fiscal year, it received: a) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenue in Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants), and cooperative agreements; b) $25 million or more in annual gross revenue from Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants), and cooperative agreements; and c) the public does not have access to information about the compensation of the senior executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

1. Application and Submission Process -

Pre-proposals or “White Papers” are required by the ONR Technical POC for all offerors seeking FY 2012 initial funding.

Initial Government evaluations of the White Papers for FY 2012 program funding will be issued via email notification on or about 3 June 2011. Detailed Technical and Cost proposals will be subsequently encouraged from those Offerors whose proposed technologies have been identified through the above referenced email as being of “particular value” to the Government. However, any such encouragement does not assure a subsequent award. Technical and Cost Proposals may also be submitted by any Offeror whose White Paper was not identified as being of particular value to the Government. But the initial White Paper
appraisal is intended to give companies a sense of whether their concepts are likely to be funded under this BAA.

2. Content and Format of White Papers/Full Proposals -

The Proposals submitted under this BAA are expected to be unclassified.

Proposal submissions will be protected from unauthorized disclosure in accordance with FAR Subpart 15.207, applicable law, and DoD/DoN regulations. Offerors are expected to appropriately mark each page of their submission that contains proprietary information.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Titles given to the White Papers/Full Proposals should be descriptive of the work they cover and not be merely a copy of the title of this solicitation.

a. WHITE PAPERS

White Paper Format

- Paper Size – 8.5 x 11 inch paper
- Margins – 1 inch
- Spacing – single-spaced
- Font – Times New Roman, 12 point
- Number of Pages: The cover page is excluded from the page limitations. White Papers exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated. White Papers shall not be longer than 6 pages.
- Copies – Electronic (email) submissions should be sent to the attention of the TPOC at: kurt.d.yankaskas@navy.mil. The subject line of the email shall read “ONR BAA 11-018 White Paper Submission.” The white paper must be a Microsoft Word 2007 or .PDF format attachment to the email.

NOTE: 1) Do not send hardcopies of White Papers (including facsimiles) as only electronic submissions will be accepted and reviewed; 2) Do not send .ZIP files; 3) Do not send password protected files.

White Paper Content

- Cover Page – The Cover Page shall be labeled “WHITE PAPER”, and shall include the BAA number, proposed title, Offeror’s administrative and technical points of contact, with telephone numbers, facsimile numbers, and Internet addresses.
- Background – A description of the technology innovation and technical risk areas.
- Objectives and Approach – A description of the project objectives, the concept of operation for the new capabilities to be delivered, and the expected operational performance improvements.
• Naval and Scientific Significance – A description of potential Naval and scientific relevance and contributions of the effort to the agency’s specific mission.

• Programmatic Outlook – A plan for demonstrating and evaluating the operational effectiveness of the Offeror’s proposed products or processes in field experiments and/or tests in a simulated environment.

b. FULL PROPOSALS

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTRACT, COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTION AGREEMENTS (Does not include Grants)

NOTE: Submission instructions for BAAs issued after FY2010 have changed significantly from previous requirements. Potential Offerors are advised to carefully read and follow the instructions below. The new format and requirements have been developed to streamline and ease both the submission and review of proposals. Both the Template and the Spreadsheet have instructions imbedded into them that will assist in completing the documents. Also, both the Template and the Spreadsheet require completion of cost-related information – both documents must be fully completed to constitute a valid proposal.

All proposals must use ONR’s Technical and Cost Proposal Template and Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. The Template can be found by following this link: http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/contracts-proposal/-/media/Files/Contracts%20and%20Grants%20-%20Code%202022/Technical-Cost-Proposal-Template-2010final.ashx. Please note that all the attachments listed in Section III.8 of the Template can be incorporated into the Template file for submission.

The Cost Proposal Spreadsheet can be found by following this link: http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/contracts-proposal/cost-proposal.aspx. Click on the “proposal spreadsheet” link and save a copy of the spreadsheet. Instructions for completion have been embedded into the spreadsheet. Any proposed options that are identified in the Technical and Cost Proposal Template, but are not fully priced out in the Cost Proposal Spreadsheet, will not be included in any resulting contract or other transaction. If proposing options, they must be separately priced and separate spreadsheets should be provided for the base period and each option period.

For proposed subcontracts or interorganizational transfers over $150,000, Offerors must provide a separate fully completed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet in support of the proposed costs. This spreadsheet, along with supporting documentation, must be provided either in a sealed envelope with the prime’s proposal or via email directly to both the Program Officer and the Business Point of Contact at the same time the prime proposal is submitted. The email should identify the proposal title, the prime Offeror and that the attached proposal is a subcontract, and should include a description of the effort to be performed by the subcontractor. Offerors should also familiarize themselves with the
new subcontract reporting requirements set forth in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause 52.204-10, Reporting Executive Compensation and First-Tier Subcontract Awards. From October 1, 2010 through February 28, 2011, any newly awarded subcontract must be reported if the prime contract award amount is $550,000 or more. Starting March 1, 2011, any newly awarded subcontract must be reported if the prime contract award amount was $25,000 or more. The pertinent requirements can be found in Section VII, Other Information, of this document.

Offerors should submit one original, two hard copies, and one electronic copy on a DVD (in Microsoft® Word or Excel 2007 compatible format). Offerors shall follow the Technical and Cost Proposal Template. The electronic Technical and Cost Proposal should be submitted in a secure, pdf compatible format, save for the electronic file for the Cost Proposal Spreadsheet which should be submitted in a Microsoft Excel 2007 compatible format. All attachments should be submitted in a secure, pdf compatible format.

The secure pdf compatible format is intended to prevent unauthorized editing of the proposal prior to any award. A password should not be required for opening the proposal document, but the Government must have the ability to print and copy text, images, and other content. Offerors may also submit their Technical and Cost Proposal in an electronic file that allows for revision (preferably in Microsoft Word) to facilitate the communication of potential revisions. Should an offeror amend its Technical and Cost Proposal package, the amended proposal should be submitted following the same hard and electronic copy guidance applicable to the original proposal.

The electronic submission of the Excel spreadsheet should be in a “useable condition” to aid the Government with its evaluation. The term “useable condition” indicated that the spreadsheet should visibly include and separately identify within each appropriate cell any and all inputs, formulas, calculations, etc. the Offeror should not provide “value only spreadsheets” similar to a hard copy.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR GRANTS (Does not include contracts, cooperative agreements and other transaction agreements)

The offeror must use the Grants.gov forms from the application package template associated with the BAA on the Grants.gov website located at http://www.grants.gov. If options are proposed, the cost proposal must provide the pricing information for the option periods; failure to include the proposed costs for the option periods will result in the options not being included in the award. Assume that performance will start no earlier than three (3) months after the date the cost proposal is submitted. A separate Adobe.pdf document should be included in the application that provides appropriate justification and/or supporting documentation for each element of cost proposed.

The following information must be completed as follows in the SF 424 to ensure that the application is directed to the correct individual for review: Block 4a, Federal Identifier: Enter the previous ONR award number, or N00014 if the application is not a renewal or expansion of an existing award; Block 4b, Agency Routing Number: Enter the three (3) digit Program Office Code (342) and the Program Officer’s name, last name first, in brackets ([Yankaskas, Kurt]).
To attach the technical proposal in Grants.gov, download the application package
Click on "Research and Related Other Project Information"
Click on "Move form to Submission List"
Click on "Open Form"
You will see a new PDF document titled "Research & Related Other Project Information"
Block 7 is the Project Summary/Abstract -> click on "Add attachment" and attach the
project summary/abstract. (You will not be able to type in the box, therefore, save the file
you want to attach as Project Summary or Abstract).
Block 8 is the Project Narrative -> click on Add attachment and attach the technical
proposal. (Save the file as Volume I- Technical Proposal since you will not be able to
type in the box).

**Full Proposal Format – Volume 1 - Technical and Volume 2 - Cost Proposal**

- Paper Size – 8.5 x 11 inch paper
- Margins – 1 inch
- Spacing – single-spaced
- Font – Times New Roman, 12 point
- Number of Pages – The cover page, table of contents, resumes and current and
  pending project and proposal submissions information are excluded from the page
  limitations. Full Proposals exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated. The
  Technical Proposal shall not exceed 15 pages.
- Copies – the full proposal should be submitted electronically at
  http://www.grants.gov/ as delineated in paragraph 5 below.

**Volume 1: Technical Proposal**

- **Cover Page:** This should include the words “Technical Proposal” and the following:

  1. BAA number 11-018;
  2. Title of Proposal;
  3. Identity of prime Offeror and complete list of subawards, if applicable;
  4. Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
  5. Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address) and;
  6. Proposed period of performance (identify both the base period and any options, if included).

- **Table of Contents:** An alphabetical/numerical listing of the sections within the
  proposal, including corresponding page numbers.

**Technical Approach and Justification:** The major portion of the proposal should
consist of a clear description of the technical approach being proposed. This discussion
should provide the technical foundation/justification for pursuing this particular
approach/direction and why one could expect it to enable the objectives of the proposal to be met.

For Basic Research (Budget Activity 1) Awards:

- **Future Naval Relevance:** A description of potential Naval relevance and contributions of the effort to the agency’s specific mission.

For Advanced Research (Budget Activity 2) and/or Advanced Technology Development (Budget Activity 3) Awards:

- **Operational Naval Concept:** A description of the project objectives, the concept of operation for the new capabilities to be delivered, and the expected operational performance improvements.

- **Operational Utility Assessment Plan:** A plan for demonstrating and evaluating the operational effectiveness of the Offeror’s proposed products or processes in field experiments and/or tests in a simulated environment.

- **Project Schedule and Milestones:** A summary of the schedule of events and milestones:

- **Reports:**

  The following are sample data deliverables that are typically required under a research effort:

  - Annual Report
  - Final Technical Report
  - Annual Program Briefings

  Grants and other agreements do not include the delivery of software, prototypes, and other hardware deliverables.

- **Management Approach:** Identify which personnel and subcontractors/subrecipients (if any) will be involved. Include a description of the facilities that are required for the proposed effort, along with a description of any Government Furnished Equipment/Hardware/Software/Information required, by version and/or configuration.

- **Current and Pending Project and Proposal Submissions:** Offerors are required to provide information on all current and pending support for ongoing projects and proposals, including subsequent funding in the case of continuing contracts, grants, and other assistance agreements. Offerors shall provide the following information of any related or complementary proposal submissions from whatever sources (e.g., ONR, Federal, State, local or foreign government agencies, public or private foundations, industrial or other commercial organizations).
The information must be provided for all proposals already submitted or submitted concurrently to other possible sponsors, including ONR. Concurrent submission of a proposal to other organizations will not prejudice its review by ONR:

1) Title of Proposal and Summary;
2) Source and amount of funding (annual direct costs; provide contract and/or grant numbers for current contracts/grants);
3) Percentage effort devoted to each project;
4) Identity of prime Offeror and complete list of subcontractors, if applicable;
5) Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
6) Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address);
7) Period of performance (differentiate basic effort);
8) The proposed project and all other projects or activities requiring a portion of time of the Principal Investigator and other senior personnel must be included, even if they receive no salary support from the project(s);
9) The total award amount for the entire award period covered (including indirect costs) must be shown as well as the number of person-months or labor hours per year to be devoted to the project, regardless of source of support; and
10) State how projects are related to the proposed effort and indicate degree of overlap.

• Qualifications: A discussion of the qualifications of the proposed Principal Investigator and any other key personnel. Include resumes or curricula vitae for the Principal Investigator, other key personnel and consultants. The resumes/curricula vitae shall be attached to the proposal.

Volume 2: Cost Proposal

Part 1: The itemized budget must include the following

- Direct Labor – Individual labor categories or persons, with associated labor hours and unburdened direct labor rates. Provide escalation rates for out years.

Administrative and clerical labor – Salaries of administrative and clerical staff are normally indirect costs (and included in an indirect cost rate). Direct charging of these costs may be appropriate when a major project requires an extensive amount of administrative or clerical support significantly greater than normal and routine levels of support. Budgets proposing direct charging of administrative or clerical salaries must be supported with a budget justification which adequately describes the major project and the administrative and/or clerical work to be performed.
• **Fringe Benefits and Indirect Costs** (i.e., F&A, Overhead, G&A, etc) – The proposal should show the rates and calculation of the costs for each rate category. If the rates have been approved/negotiated by a Government agency, provide a copy of the memorandum/agreement. If the rates have not been approved/negotiated, provide sufficient detail to enable a determination of allowability, allocability and reasonableness of the allocation bases, and how the rates are calculated. Additional information may be requested, if needed. If composite rates are used, provide the calculations used in deriving the composite rates.

• **Travel** – The proposed travel cost should include the following for each trip: the purpose of the trip, origin and destination if known, approximate duration, the number of travelers, and the estimated cost per trip must be justified based on the organization’s historical average cost per trip or other reasonable basis for estimation. Such estimates and the resultant costs claimed must conform to the applicable Federal cost principals.

• **Subawards** – Provide a description of the work to be performed by the subrecipients. For each subaward, a detailed cost proposal is required to be submitted by the subrecipient(s). The subawardee’s or subrecipient’s cost proposal can be provided in a sealed envelope with the recipient’s cost proposal or via e-mail directly to both the Program Officer and the business point of contact at the same time the prime proposal is submitted. The e-mail should identify the proposal title, the prime Offeror and that the attached proposal is a subcontract. A proposal and supporting documentation must be received and reviewed before the Government can complete its cost analysis of the proposal and enter negotiations. Fee/profit is not allowable on any subawards made through assistance agreements. Fee is allowable on subcontract awards.

• **Consultants** – Provide a breakdown of the consultant’s hours, the hourly rate proposed, and any other proposed consultant costs, a copy of the signed Consulting Agreement or other documentation supporting the proposed consultant rate/cost, and a copy of the consultant’s proposed statement of work if it is not already separately identified in the prime contractor’s proposal.

• **Materials & Supplies** – Provide an itemized list of all proposed materials and supplies including quantities, unit prices, proposed vendors (if known), and the basis for the estimate (e.g., quotes, prior purchases, catalog price lists).

• **Recipient Acquired Equipment or Facilities** – Equipment and/or facilities are normally furnished by the Recipient. If acquisition of equipment and/or facilities is proposed, a justification for the purchase of the items must be provided. Provide an itemized list of all equipment and/or facilities costs and the basis for the estimate (e.g., quotes, prior purchases, catalog price lists). Allowable items normally would be limited to research equipment not already available for the project. General purpose equipment (i.e., equipment not used exclusively for research, scientific or other technical activities, such as personal computers, office equipment.
and furnishings, etc.) should not be requested unless they will be used primarily or exclusively for the project. For computer/laptop purchases and other general purpose equipment, if proposed, include a statement indicating how each item of equipment will be integrated into the program or used as an integral part of the research effort.

- **Other Direct Costs** – Provide an itemized list of all other proposed other direct costs such as Graduate Assistant tuition, laboratory fees, report and publication costs, and the basis for the estimate (e.g., quotes, prior purchases, catalog price lists).

  NOTE: *If the grant proposal is for a conference, workshop or symposium, the funds provided by ONR may be used to pay for food or beverages only in exceptional circumstances. The funds will not be used for food or beverages unless (1) the grant proposal contains a request for such funding that is fully supported factually in accordance with the cost principles of the relevant OMB Circular, and (2) the grants officer determines that the funding is a reasonable, allocable, allowable expense under the relevant cost principles.*

- **Fee/Profit** – Fee/profit is unallowable under assistance agreements at either the prime or subaward level but may be permitted on any subcontracts issued by the prime awardee.

**Part 2:** Cost breakdown by Government fiscal year and task/sub-task corresponding to the same task breakdown in the proposed Statement of Work. When options are contemplated, options must be separately identified and priced by task/sub-task.

### 3. Significant Dates and Times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date (MM/DD/YEAR)</th>
<th>Time (Local Eastern Time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Papers Due Date</td>
<td>2 MAY 2011</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification of Initial Navy Evaluations of White Papers*</td>
<td>3 JUN 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Proposals Due Date</td>
<td>3 JUL 2011</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification of Selection for Award*</td>
<td>26 SEP 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Awards*</td>
<td>3 NOV 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kickoff Meeting*</td>
<td>4 JAN 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These dates are estimates as of the date of this announcement.*

**NOTE:** Due to changes in security procedures since September 11, 2001, the time required for hard-copy written materials to be received at the Office of Naval Research has increased. Materials submitted through the U.S. Postal Service, for example, may take seven days or more to be received, even when sent by Express Mail. Thus any hard-copy proposal should be submitted long enough before the deadline established in the solicitation so that it will not be received late and thus be ineligible for award consideration.
4. Submission of Late Proposals (Applicable to Full Proposals)

Any proposal, modification, or revision, that is received at the designated Government office after the exact time specified for receipt of proposals is “late” and will not be considered unless it is received before award is made, the contracting officer determines that accepting the late proposal would not unduly delay the acquisition and

(a) If it was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the announcement, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals; or

(b) There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of proposals and was under the Government’s control prior to the time set for receipt of proposals; or

(c) It was the only proposal received.

However, a late modification of an otherwise timely and successful proposal that makes its terms more favorable to the Government will be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.

Acceptable evidence to establish the time or receipt at the Government installation includes the time/date stamp of that installation on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.

If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the Government office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the announcement, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the announcement closing date, the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extend to the same time of day specified in the announcement on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume.

The contracting officer must promptly notify any offeror if its proposal, modifications, or revision was received late and must inform the offeror whether its proposal will be considered.

5. Submission of Grant Proposals through Grants.gov

(NOT APPLICABLE TO PROPOSALS FOR CONTRACTS, COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, AND OTHER TRANSACTION AGREEMENTS)

Detailed instructions entitled “Grants.gov Electronic Application and Submission Information” on how to submit a grant proposal through Grants.gov may be found at the ONR website listed under the “Acquisition Department – Contracts & Grants Submitting a Proposal” link at: http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/grants-proposal/grants-gov.aspx.
As stated in Section IV.2, White Papers should not be submitted through the Grants.gov Apply process, but rather should be sent directly to ONR. White Papers should be e-mailed directly to the Technical Point of Contact. White Paper format requirements are found in Section IV, item 2a above.

By completing Block 17, the Grant Applicant is providing the certification on lobbying required by 32 CFR Part 28. Refer to Section VI, “Award Administration Information” entitled “Certifications” for further information.

For electronic submission of grant full proposals, several one-time actions must be completed in order to submit an application through Grants.gov. These include obtaining a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number, registering with the Central Contract Registration (CCR), registering with the credential provider, and registering with Grants.gov. See http://www.grants.gov, specifically http://www.grants.gov/GetStarted.

Use the Grants.gov organization Registration Checklist at http://www.grants.gov/applicants/register_your_organization.jsp which will provide guidance through the process. Designating an E-Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called “MPIN” are important steps in the CCR registration process. Applicants who are not registered with CCR and Grant.gov should allow at least 21 days to complete these requirements. The process should be started as soon as possible. Any questions relating to the registration process, system requirement, how an application form works, or the submittal process must be directed to Grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or support@grants.gov.

Special Notices Relative to Grant Applications to be Submitted Through Grants.gov

All attachments to grant applications submitted through Grants.gov must be in Adobe Portable Document Format (i.e., .pdf files). Proposals with attachments submitted in word processing, spreadsheet, or any format other than Adobe Portable Document Format will not be considered for award.

For Program-specific Announcements: Applicants who have registered with Grants.gov are urged to submit their proposals electronically at least three days before the date and time that proposals are due so that it will not be received late and be ineligible for award consideration.

Proposal Receipt Notices:

After a full proposal is submitted through Grants.gov, the Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) will receive a series of three e-mails. You will know that your proposal has reached ONR when the AOR receives e-mail Number 3. You will need the Submission Receipt Number (e-mail Number 1) to track a submission. The three e-mails are:

Number 1 – The applicant will receive a confirmation page upon completing the submission to Grants.gov.
Number 2 – The applicant will receive an e-mail indicating that the proposal has been validated by Grants.gov within two days of submission (this means that all of the required fields have been completed).

Number 3 – The third notice is an acknowledgement of receipt in e-mail form from ONR within ten days from the proposal due date, if applicable. The e-mail is sent to the authorized representative for the institution. The e-mail for proposals notes that the proposal has been received and provides the assigned tracking number.

6. **Address for the Submission of Full Proposals for Contracts, Cooperative Agreements, and Other Transaction Agreements.**

Hard copies of Full Proposals for Contracts, Cooperative Agreements, and Other Transaction Agreements should be sent to the Office of Naval Research at the following address:

Office of Naval Research  
Attn: Kurt Yankaskas, Program Officer  
ONR Department Code 342  
875 North Randolph St.  
Arlington, VA  22203-1995

**NOTE:** PROPOSALS SENT BY FAX OR EMAIL WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED.

V. **EVALUATION INFORMATION**

1. **Evaluation Criteria –**

Award decisions will be based on a competitive selection of proposals resulting from a scientific and cost review. Evaluations will be conducted using the following evaluation criteria. Criteria 1 through 4 are significantly more important than Criterion 5, and Criteria 1 through 4 are of equal value.

1) Overall scientific and technical merits of the proposal;  
2) Potential Naval relevance and contributions of the effort to the agency’s specific mission;  
3) The offeror’s capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques or unique combinations of these which are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives;  
4) The qualifications, capabilities and experience of the proposed Principal Investigator (PI), team leader and key personnel who are critical in achieving the proposal objectives; and  
5) The realism of the proposed costs and availability of funds.

The degree of importance of cost will increase with the degree of equality of the proposals in relation to the other factors on which selection is to be based, or when the
cost is so significantly high as to diminish the value of the proposal’s technical superiority to the Government.

The Office of Naval Research is strongly committed to providing meaningful subcontracting opportunities for small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses, woman-owned small businesses, HUBZone small businesses, veteran-owned small business, service disabled veteran-owned small businesses, historically black colleges and universities, and minority institutions through its awards.

Awards made as contracts to small businesses at any value or to other than small businesses that are less than $650K, a “statement of commitment to small business” will be evaluated to ensure that it supports this policy.

For proposed awards to be made as contracts that exceed $650K to other than small business, the offeror is required to submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan in accordance with FAR 52.219-9. As such Subcontracting Plans will be evaluated to ensure that submissions are compliant with FAR Subpart 19.7.

For proposed awards to be made as contracts to other than small businesses, the socio-economic merits of each proposal will be evaluated based on the extent the offeror’s commitment in providing meaningful subcontracting opportunities for small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses, women-owned small businesses, historically underutilized zone (HUBZone) small businesses, veteran-owned small businesses, service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses, and other concerns subject to socioeconomic considerations through its awards.

Evaluation of Options –

The Government will evaluate options for award purposes by adding the total cost for all options to the total cost for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the options during contract performance.

2. Evaluation Panel -

Technical and cost proposals submitted under this BAA will be protected from unauthorized disclosure in accordance with FAR 3.104-4 and 15.207. The cognizant Program Officer and other Government scientific experts will perform the evaluation of technical proposals. Restrictive notices notwithstanding, one or more support contractors may be utilized as subject-matter-expert technical consultants. However, proposal selection and award decisions are solely the responsibility of Government personnel. Each support contractor’s employee having access to technical and cost proposals submitted in response to this BAA will be required to sign a non-disclosure statement prior to receipt of any proposal submissions.

VI. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

Administrative Requirements –
• North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code – The NAICS code for this announcement is 541712 with a small business size standard of 500 employees.

• Central Contractor Registration: All Offerors submitting proposals or applications must:

(a) be registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) prior to submission;
(b) maintain an active CCR registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application under consideration by any agency; and
(c) provide its DUNS number in each application or proposal it submits to the agency.

Subcontracting Plans: All successful contract proposals, with the exception of small business concerns, that exceed $650K shall be required to submit Subcontracting Plans. Subcontracting Plans will be required prior to award in accordance with FAR 52.219-9.

Statement of Commitment to Small Business: For proposed awards made as contracts to business at any value or to other than small businesses that are less than $650K, the offeror shall provide a statement which demonstrates how they intend to provide meaningful small business subcontracting opportunities.

NOTE: Central Contractor Registry (CCR), Subcontracting Plan requirements and Certification requirements are all set forth in the ONR Technical and Cost Proposal Template.

Grants, Cooperative Agreements and Normal Other Transaction Agreements (OTAs) Certification Requirements:
Grant and Cooperative Agreement awards greater than $100,000, as well as OTAs not under Section 845, require a certification of compliance with a national policy mandate concerning lobbying. Grant, Cooperative Agreement and OTA applicants shall provide this certification by electronic submission of SF424 (R&R) as a part of the electronic proposal submitted via Grants.gov (complete Block 17). The following certification applies likewise to each cooperative agreement and normal OTA applicant seeking federal assistance funds exceeding $100,000:

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the applicant, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the applicant shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, “Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying,” in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S.C. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

Grants not through Grants.gov
Proposers seeking grants who have received Grants.gov waiver approval for awards greater than $100,000 shall complete and submit electronic representations and certifications at the Contracts and Grants Section of the ONR Home Page at http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/~/media/BDBA1ACF9F534C10BE2A9C9AD9AA7F12.ashx.

VII.  OTHER INFORMATION

1. Government Property/Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) and Facilities

Government research facilities and operational military units are available and should be considered as potential government-furnished equipment/facilities. These facilities and resources are of high value and some are in constant demand by multiple programs. It is unlikely that all facilities would be used for any one specific program. The use of these facilities and resources will be negotiated as the program unfolds. Offerors submitting proposals for contracts, cooperative agreements and Other Transaction Agreements should indicate in the Technical and Cost Proposal Template, Section II, Blocks 8 and 9, which of these facilities are critical for the project’s success. Offerors submitting proposals for grants should address the need for government-furnished facilities in their technical proposal.

2. Security Classification

In order to facilitate intra-program collaboration and technology transfer, the Government will attempt to enable technology developers to work at the unclassified level to the maximum extent possible. If access to classified material will be required at any point during performance, the Offeror must clearly identify such need by completing Section II, Block 11, DD 254 – Security Classification Specification.
Normally, work done under a grant does not require access to classified material.

3. Use of Animals and Human Subjects in Research

If animals are to be utilized in the research effort proposed, the Offeror must complete a DoD Animal Use Protocol with supporting documentation (copies of AAALAC accreditation and/or NIH assurance, IACUC approval, research literature database searches, and the two most recent USDA inspection reports) prior to award. For assistance with submission of animal research related documents, contact the ONR Animal Use Administrator at (703) 696-4046.

Similarly, for any proposal for research involving human subjects, the Offeror must submit or indicate an intention to submit prior to award: documentation of approval from an Institutional Review Board (IRB); IRB-approved research protocol; IRB-approved informed consent form; proof of completed human research training (e.g., training certificate or institutional verification of training); an application for a DoD-Navy Addendum to the Offeror’s DHHS-issued Federal wide Assurance (FWA) or the Offeror’s DoD-Navy Addendum. In the event that an exemption criterion under 32 CFR.219.101 (b) is claimed, provide documentation of the determination by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) Chair, IRB vice Chair, designated IRB administrator or official of the human research protection program including the category of exemption and short rationale statement. This documentation must be submitted to the ONR Human Research Protection Official (HRPO), by way of the ONR Program Officer. Information about assurance applications and forms can be obtained by contacting ONR_343_contact@navy.mil. If the research is determined by the IRB to be greater than minimal risk, the Offeror also must provide the name and contact information for the independent medical monitor. For assistance with submission of human subject research related documentation, contact the ONR Human Research Protection Official at (703) 696-4046.

For contracts and orders, the award and execution of the contract, order, or modification to an existing contract or order serves as notification from the Contracting Officer to the Contractor that the HRPO has approved the assurance as appropriate for the research under the Statement of Work and also that the HRPO has reviewed the protocol and accepted the IRB approval or exemption determination for compliance with the DoD Component policies. See, DFARS 252.235-7004.

4. Recombinant DNA

Proposals which call for experiments using recombinant DNA must include documentation of compliance with Department of Human and Health Services (DHHS) recombinant DNA regulations, approval of the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC), and copies of the DHHS Approval of the IBC letter.

5. Department of Defense High Performance Computing Program
The DoD High Performance Computing Program (HPCMP) furnishes the DoD S & T and DT & E communities with use-access to very powerful high performance computing systems. Awardees of ONR contracts, grants, and assistance instruments may be eligible to use HPCMP assets in support of their funded activities if ONR Program Officer approval is obtained and if security/screening requirements are favorably completed. Additional information and an application may be found at http://www.hpcmo.hpc.mil/.

6. Organizational Conflicts of Interest

All Offerors and proposed subcontractors must affirm whether they are providing scientific, engineering, and technical assistance (SETA) or similar support to any ONR technical office(s) through an active contract or subcontract. All affirmations must state which office(s) the offeror supports and identify the prime contract numbers. Affirmations shall be furnished at the time of proposal submission. All facts relevant to the existence or potential existence of organizational conflicts of interest (FAR 9.5) must be disclosed. The disclosure shall include a description of the action the offeror has taken or proposes to take to avoid, neutralize, or mitigate such conflict. In accordance with FAR 9.503 and without prior approval, a contractor cannot simultaneously be a SETA and a research and development performer. Proposals that fail to fully disclose potential conflicts of interests or do not have acceptable plans to mitigate identified conflicts will be rejected without technical evaluation and withdrawn from further consideration for award. If a prospective offeror believes that any conflict of interest exists or may exist (whether organizational or otherwise), the offeror should promptly raise the issue with ONR by sending his/her contact information and a summary of the potential conflict by e-mail to the Business Point of Contact in Section I, item 7 above, before time and effort are expended in preparing a proposal and mitigation plan. If, in the sole opinion of the Contracting Officer after full consideration of the circumstances, any conflict situation cannot be effectively avoided or mitigated, the proposal may be rejected without technical evaluation and withdrawn from further consideration for award under this BAA.

7. Project Meetings and Reviews

Individual program reviews between the ONR sponsor and the performer may be held as necessary. Program status reviews may also be held to provide a forum for reviews of the latest results from experiments and any other incremental progress towards the major demonstrations. These meetings will be held at various sites throughout the country. For costing purposes, offerors should assume that 40% of these meetings will be at or near ONR, Arlington, VA and 60% at other contractor or government facilities. Interim meetings are likely, but these will be accomplished via video telephone conferences, telephone conferences, or via web-based collaboration tools.

8. Executive Compensation and First-Tier Subcontract Reporting

Section 2(d) of the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Pub. L. No. 109-282), as amended by section 6202 of the Government Funding Transparency Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110-252), requires the Contractor to report information on subcontract awards. The law requires all reported information be made public, therefore,
the Contractor is responsible for notifying its subcontractors that the required information will be made public.

Unless otherwise directed by the Contracting Officer, by the end of the month following the month of award of a first-tier subcontract with a value of $25,000 or more, (and any modifications to these subcontracts that change previously reported data), the Contractor shall report the following information at http://www.fsrs.gov for each first-tier subcontract:

(a) Unique identifier (DUNS Number) for the subcontractor receiving the award and for the subcontractor’s parent company, if the subcontractor has one.

(b) Name of the subcontractor.

(c) Amount of the subcontract award.

(d) Date of the subcontract award.

(e) A description of the products or services (including construction) being provided under the subcontract, including the overall purpose and expected outcomes or results of the subcontract.

(f) Subcontract number (the subcontract number assigned by the Contractor).

(g) Subcontractor’s physical address including street address, city, state, and country. Also include the nine-digit zip code and congressional district.

(h) Subcontractor’s primary performance location including street address, city, state, and country. Also include the nine-digit zip code and congressional district.

(i) The prime contract number, and order number if applicable.

(j) Awarding agency name and code.

(k) Funding agency name and code.

(l) Government contracting office code.

(m) Treasury account symbol (TAS) as reported in FPDS.

(n) The applicable North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code.

By the end of the month following the month of a contract award, and annually thereafter, the Contractor shall report the names and total compensation of each of the five most highly compensated executives for the Contractor’s preceding completed fiscal year at http://www.ecr.gov, if—
(a) In the Contractor’s preceding fiscal year, the Contractor received –

(i) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenues from Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants) and cooperative agreements; and

(ii) $25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants) and cooperative agreements; and

(b) The public does not have access to information about the compensation of the executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. (To determine if the public has access to the compensation information, see the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission total compensation filings at http://www.sec.gov/answers/execomp.htm.).

Unless otherwise directed by the Contracting Officer, by the end of the month following the month of a first-tier subcontract with a value of $25,000 or more, and annually thereafter, the Contractor shall report the names and total compensation of each of the five most highly compensated executives for each first-tier subcontractor for the subcontractor’s preceding completed fiscal year at http://www.fsrs.gov, if –

(a) In the subcontractor’s preceding fiscal year, the subcontractor received –

(i) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenues from Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants) and cooperative agreements; and

(ii) $25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants) and cooperative agreements; and

(b) The public does not have access to information about the compensation of the executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. (To determine if the public has access to the compensation information, see the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission total compensation filings at http://www.sec.gov/answers/execomp.htm.).

If the Contractor in the previous tax year had gross income, from all sources, under $300,000, the Contractor is exempt from the requirement to report subcontractor awards. Likewise, if a subcontractor in the previous tax year had gross income from all sources under $300,000, the Contractor does not need to report awards to that subcontractor.