The purpose of Amendment 0001 is to respond to questions submitted through 08/07/2013.

1. **Industry Questions and Answers are provided as follows:**

(Q21) The BAA poses some requirements on page 3 of the proposal. Where can I locate these references?

(A21) Referenced documents were only listed to show requirements traceability and are not needed or releasable by offerors.

(Q22) In these times of sequestration and limited budgets, it’s important to know if there is going to be any Funding behind solicitations such as this BAA.

(A22) The published program budget is still valid.

(Q23) Page 15, Section IV. Application and Submission Information, states white papers must be submitted via e-mail to technical POCs, and All white papers are required to be uploaded to the ISR Upload Site. Could you clarify this direction please? Do we upload to the ISR Site and send an e-mail informing the POCs that we have uploaded documents?

(A23) As the BAA states, white papers must be submitted via E-MAIL to the corresponding technical POCs: Martin Kruger, at martin.kruger1@navy.mil, for Thrust Areas 1 and 2; John Moniz, at john.moniz@navy.mil, for Thrust Area 3. And, all white papers are required to be uploaded to the ISR Upload Site (https://onroutside.onr.navy.mil/aspprocessor/isr30b/).

(Q24) Reviewing the C4 Thrust area's Willful Intent slides from the ONR Code 30 website, I found the following mid-term desired capabilities listed:
* NCW/Interoperability: "Ready access to relevant information by appropriate users"
* Small Unit Technologies: "Automated provision of tactically relevant information"
If possible, could you direct me to transition paths or programs of record that may be appropriate for those mid-term desired capabilities or for the capabilities described in the BAA?

(A24) EAITE is currently targeted to two Marine Corps acquisition programs: Command Operations Center and Networking-on-the-Move and two Navy acquisition programs: DCGS-N and MTC2. Awarded efforts may be aligned to additional Navy and Marine Corps transition paths subsequent to our yearly technology demonstrations.

(Q25) Where should integrated capabilities be submitted to?

(A25) The upload site and to Mr. Moniz and Mr. Kruger.
(Q26) Do we email and upload white papers?
(A26) Yes

(Q27) What does IR stand for?
(A27) Information request

(Q28) What does EC stand for?
(A28) Enabling capability program

(Q29) What is a content model?
(A29) A machine understandable representation of the information needed to finalize the production of a report.

(Q30) Provide examples of workflows that can be real time vice workflows that may take weeks.
(A30) A workflow to generate a report on a bridge can be done in real time if imagery is available. A workflow that generates a decision model can require weeks or more of training data.

(Q31) Should solutions be tailored to processing hardware that the Navy uses?
(A31) Offerors should take reasonable steps to lower risks to transition.

(Q32) What type of processing hardware does the Department of Navy use?
(A32) Varies, e.g. GPU, DSP and FPGA

(Q33) Should white papers be written for a single year, or are you looking for a submission containing information for 2014-2018?
(A33) As noted under Amendment 0001, at this time ONR expects the period of performance for most resulting proposals to be two to five years. Therefore, the white paper and corresponding ROM (rough order of magnitude) should reflect all information for the total period of performance that the offeror is proposing. The ROM should describe the total estimated costs of the proposed effort for all years and have all proposed costs broken out by Government Fiscal Year (01 OCT - 30 SEP). ROM should include costs for labor, travel, deliverables, and other relevant costs.
(Q34) Can white papers be submitted that only address subcategories of a product vice the entire product?

(A34) Yes, but such white papers should clearly state how the work would be combined with other efforts to produce a transitionable product.