BROAD AGENCY ANNOUNCEMENT (BAA)

Accelerating the Development of Small Unit Decision Making (ADSUDM)

INTRODUCTION

This publication constitutes a Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) as contemplated in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 6.102(d)(2) and 35.016. A formal Request for Proposals (RFP), solicitation, and/or additional information regarding this announcement will not be issued.

The Office of Naval Research (ONR) will not issue paper copies of this announcement. The ONR reserves the right to select for award all, some, or none of the proposals in response to this announcement. The ONR reserves the right to fund all, some, or none of the proposals received under this BAA. ONR provides no funding for direct reimbursement of proposal development costs. Technical and cost proposals (or any other material) submitted in response to this BAA will not be returned. It is the policy of ONR to treat all proposals submitted under this BAA as competition sensitive information and to disclose their contents only for the purposes of evaluation.
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I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Agency Name -
   Office of Naval Research
   875 North Randolph Street – One Liberty Center
   Arlington, VA   22203-1995

B. Research Opportunity Title – Accelerating the Development of Small Unit Decision Making (ADSUDM)

C. Program Name - Human Performance Training and Education (HPT&E)

D. Research Opportunity Number – ONR-BAA-15-008

E. Response Date -
   White Papers:   02/11/2015
   Full Proposals:  03/31/2015

F. Research Opportunity Description -

The Office of Naval Research (ONR) is interested in receiving white papers and full proposals for developing technology in Small Unit Decision Making (SUDM) training systems for the US Marine Corps (USMC). Accelerating Development of Small Unit Decision Making (ADSUDM) has been approved as a new research opportunity under the Capable Manpower Pillar (CMP) Future Naval Capability (FNC). ADSUDM will deliver new or improved capabilities in the following three (3) areas:

- SUDM learning management,
- Situated, tailored, and automated simulation-based training and assessment, and
- Relevant tactical simulation training environments.

These capabilities may work independently and shall be able to interoperate as described below.

Background:

While military science on decision-making has matured over the past twenty years, few research efforts have focused on accelerating infantry decision-making at the small unit level. The Marine Corps defines "small unit" as company-level equivalents and below. ADSUDM will focus on dismounted infantry squad personnel and operations and leverage the work done by Ross, K. G., Phillips, J. K., Rivera, I. D., Brown, T. F., Smith, K. M., & Hale, K. S. (2012), Marine Corps maneuver squad leader mastery model.

The assessment of SUDM skills are largely subjective, with few Training and Readiness (T&R) standards devoted towards higher order SUDM skills - e.g. tactical thinking. The majority of SUDM training is accomplished using traditional instructional methods -- e.g., classroom or field based training with instructors. Some additional training occurs outside of the classroom using fielded simulation-based training capabilities, but these are limited and manpower intensive. Moreover, when simulation-based training methods are used they are too often not situated to reflect the relevant operating environment and the specific needs of the Marine in training. To address these challenges and develop capabilities that can accelerate decision-making training for Marine Corps infantry personnel, research proposals for the following three (3) scientific and technologies areas are sought:
• Decision Making-Learning Management System (DM-LMS) -- DM-LMS will be based on mastery models (e.g., Novice to Expert), associated measures of effectiveness (MOEs) and measures of performance (MOPs). It will be capable of tracking and planning Marine SUDM skills development and characterizing SUDM readiness.

• Simulation Tailored Training and Assessment (ST2A) -- ST2A will augment current Marine simulation-based training (e.g., Virtual Battlespace -- VBS) with appropriate automated best practices in instructional strategies (e.g., adjusting timing, sequence, skill level, pedagogy) based on mastery model requirements and dynamically assessed Marine proficiency.

• Digital Integrated Representation of Tactical Environment (DIRTE) -- DIRTE will provide tools for rapidly modeling, editing and adding contextual elements (e.g., buildings and content in various states) to geographic terrain data bases for simulation-based training systems.

Objectives:

This call for science and technology white papers and proposals focuses on the three technical areas described above -- DM-LMS, ST2A, and DIRTE. The objective of the technical work is to deliver knowledge products, software, and possibly hardware that can enhance SUDM training systems and processes for USMC company-level equivalents and below.

Proposals may address one, or more, technical areas and should discuss how capability effectiveness will be demonstrated and measured. ADSUDM development efforts should incrementally address functional and technical requirements, growing capability in each area over time. ADSUDM capabilities shall achieve Technology Readiness Level (TRL) Six (6) before being deemed suitable for transition. ADSUDM's DM-LMS, ST2A and DIRTE shall use for the exchange of information open public standards, DoD mandated standards (e.g., Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) xAPI) and / or open, formally documented, interfaces as most appropriate. The government shall seek license free Government Purpose Rights on all developed software and models and unlimited data rights on related open model specifications and associated artifacts. Finally, the government will conduct an independent evaluation of all final products (i.e., using performers not involved in the proposed research).

Decision Making-Learning Management System

Marine Corps Training Information Management System (MCTIMS) is a USMC source for Training and Readiness data, and the target acquisition program for DM-LMS. There are no Training and Readiness decision-making modules associated with MCTIMS. DM-LMS will provide a set of SUDM-specific, mastery model-based, MCTIMS software modules that support Training and Readiness planning and assessment. The following DM-LMS science and technology solutions are sought:

• SUDM mastery model that is an open, documented, machine-readable, knowledge base suitable for enabling ADSUDM functional and automation objectives,
• Definitions for Marine SUDM Training and Readiness standards and measures of effectiveness (MOEs) and measures of performance (MOPs),
• Validated SUDM assessment measures,
• Generation of tailored, simulation-based training curriculum and instructional products for individual Marines and small units that link to SUDM assessment measures, Knowledge repository and information services module, providing open management, analytics, visualization, and communications services for ADSUDM-related data, and
• An ability to define and link Training and Readiness criteria to a mastery model.
Simulation Tailored Training and Assessment

ST2A software must reduce overall instructor time and effort required to identify, prepare, conduct, evaluate and report SUDM simulation-based training. Virtual Battlespace (VBS) is a target simulation-based training system for ST2A technology. The final delivery shall be VBS3 compatible. Ideally, ST2A will also work with other simulation based training platforms. The following ST2A SUDM science and technology solutions are sought:

- Automatically parse and adaptively execute and assess Marine performance based on scenario tasking passed from DM-LMS,
- Generate and execute locally created simulation-based training,
- Automate pedagogy using SUDM instructional best practices in the areas of situated tutoring techniques, biometric measurement techniques, adaptations to generate scenarios that fit student needs, and algorithms to assess the effectiveness of ongoing simulation-based training,
- Automate non-invasive, unobtrusive, and near real-time training interventions that enhance SUDM skills assessment,
- Simplify creation of enhanced situated and tailored scenario terrain and cultural features and import of DIRTE-generated terrain data bases,
- Generate after action reports (AAR) for trainer/instructor reviews and reporting to DM-LMS, and
- Delivery of a SUDM Instructional Best Practices Guide (SIBPG) covering training effectiveness evaluation.

Digital Integrated Representation of Tactical Environment

Today, Marines rely on government agencies or contractors to build their VBS Terrain Data Bases (TDB). A product from Bohemia, Visitor 4, is available for building terrain, but it is difficult for novices to use. DIRTE functional capabilities shall provide novice modelers with time saving, rapid and automated methods for:

- Terrain modeling and editing, using unclassified geospatial data, and
- Combining and embellishing natural and cultural features to support tailored and situated SUDM simulation-based training scenario requirements. The user should be able to "sketch" to add, remove or modify cultural and terrain features. Ideally, when sketching the software applies smart constraints to ensure reasonable results are rapidly achieved even by a novice user. A detailed Instructor/User Guide shall be delivered with the DIRTE software.

G. Point(s) of Contact –

Questions of a technical nature should be submitted to:

Dr. Peter Squire
Program Officer
Code 30, Expeditionary Maneuver Warfare & Combating Terrorism Department
One Liberty Center
875 North Randolph Street - Suite 1057
Arlington VA 22203
Phone: (703) 696-0407
Email: peter.squire@navy.mil
Questions of a Business nature, and suggestions for improvement, should be submitted to:

Ms. Jennifer Brown  
Contracting Officer  
Code BD251, Acquisition Department  
One Liberty Center  
875 North Randolph Street - Suite 1275A  
Arlington VA  22203  
Phone: (703) 588-2432  
Email: jennifer.brown4@navy.mil

Any questions regarding this solicitation must be provided to the Technical Point of Contact and Business Point of Contact listed in this solicitation. All questions shall be submitted in writing by electronic mail. Comments or questions submitted should be concise and to the point, eliminating any unnecessary verbiage. In addition, the relevant part and paragraph of the Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) should be referenced.

Questions submitted within two (2) weeks prior to a deadline may not be answered, and the due date for submission of the white paper and/or full proposal will not be extended. Amendments will be posted to one or more of the following webpages:


Questions of a security nature should be submitted to:

Diana Pacheco  
Industrial Security Specialist  
Office of Naval Research  
Security Department, Code 43  
One Liberty Center  
875 North Randolph Street  
Arlington, VA 22203-1995  
Email Address: diana.pacheco@navy.mil

Note: All UNCLASSIFIED communications shall be submitted via e-mail to the Technical Point of Contract (POC) with a copy to the designated Business POC.

CLASSIFIED questions shall be handled through the ONR Security POC. Specifically, any entity wanting to ask a CLASSIFIED question shall send an email to the ONR Security POC with copy to both the Technical POC and the Business POC stating that the entity would like to ask a CLASSIFIED question.

**DO NOT EMAIL ANY CLASSIFIED QUESTIONS.** The Security POC will contact the entity and arrange for the CLASSIFIED question to be asked through a secure method of communication.

H. **Instrument Type(s)** - Contracts and Grants

Awards may take the form of contracts and grants.
Any contract awards resulting from this BAA will incorporate the most current FAR, DFARs, NMCARS and ONR clauses.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this announcement will be governed by the award terms and conditions that conform to DoD’s implementation of OMB circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards and funding increments to existing awards made after December 26, 2014, may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in 2 CFR Part 200, “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.”

Examples of model contracts can be found on the ONR website at the following link:
ONR Contract specific representations and certifications can be accessed on the following page of the ONR website: http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/Funding-Opportunities/Requests-for-Information.aspx.

Examples of model grants can be found on the ONR website at the following link:

I. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers -

12.300

J. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Titles -

Applied Research and Advanced Technology Development

K. Other Information -

Work funded under a BAA may include basic research, applied research, and some advanced technology development (ATD). With regard to any restrictions on the conduct or outcome of work funded under this BAA, ONR will follow the guidance on and definition of "contracted fundamental research" as provided in the Under Secretary of Defense Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (AT&L) Memorandum of 24 May 2010.

As defined therein the definition of "contracted fundamental research", in a DoD contractual context, includes [research performed under] grants and contracts that are (a) funded by Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation Budget Activity 1 (Basic Research), whether performed by universities or industry or (b) funded by Budget Activity 2 (Applied Research) and performed on campus at a university. The research shall not be considered fundamental in those rare and exceptional circumstances where the applied research effort presents a high likelihood of disclosing performance characteristics of military systems or manufacturing technologies that are unique and critical to defense, and where agreement on restrictions have been recorded in the contract or grant.

Pursuant to DoD policy, research performed under grants and contracts that are a) funded by Budget Activity 6.2 (Applied Research) and NOT performed on-campus at a university or b) funded by Budget Activity 6.3 (Advanced Technology Development) does not meet the definition of "contracted fundamental research." In conformance with the USD (AT&L) guidance and National Security Decision Directive 189, ONR will place no restriction on the conduct or reporting of unclassified "contracted fundamental research," except as otherwise required by statute, regulation or Executive Order. For certain research projects, it may be possible that although the research being performed by the prime contractor is restricted research, a subcontractor may be conducting "contracted fundamental research." In those cases, it is the prime contractor's responsibility in the proposal to identify and
describe the subcontracted unclassified research and include a statement confirming that the work has been scoped, negotiated, and determined to be fundamental research according to the prime contractor and research performer.

Normally, fundamental research is awarded under grants with universities and under contracts with industry. ATD is normally awarded under contracts and may require restrictions during the conduct of the research and DoD pre-publication review of research results due to subject matter sensitivity.

FAR Part 35 restricts the use of the Broad Agency Announcements (BAAs), such as this, to the acquisition of basic and applied research and that portion of advanced technology development not related to the development of a specific system or hardware procurement. Contracts and grants and other assistance agreements made under BAAs are for scientific study and experimentation directed towards advancing the state of the art and increasing knowledge or understanding.

As regards to the present BAA, the Research and Development efforts to be funded will consist of applied research and advanced technology development. The funds available to support awards are Budget Activity 2 and Budget Activity 3.

**THIS ANNOUNCEMENT IS NOT FOR THE ACQUISITION OF TECHNICAL, ENGINEERING AND OTHER TYPES OF SUPPORT SERVICES.**

II. AWARD INFORMATION

A. Amount and Period of Performance- Estimated Total Amount of Funding Available ($K):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2015</th>
<th>FY2016</th>
<th>FY2017</th>
<th>FY2018</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>547.8</td>
<td>3120</td>
<td>3088.8</td>
<td>3013.4</td>
<td>9770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anticipated Number of Awards: one (1) or multiple awards

Period of Performance: twelve (12) month base period and thirty-six (36) month option period(s). The performance period will not exceed forty-eight (48) months.

ONR plans for an award, or multiple awards, with an approximate total value of up to $0.5478 million during the twelve (12) month base period. During the subsequent thirty-six (36) month option period(s), ONR may award another $9.222 million for additional work. The total period of performance will not exceed forty-eight (48) months. Each proposed program may address one, or more, of the three topic areas and include team members with significant capabilities consistent with the topic description. Teaming between industry, academia, and other eligible performers as described in the BAA is encouraged. Over the course of the research and development activities, each of the awarded teams is expected to design for, and demonstrate, integration and interoperability among the capabilities being developed, as described above. The period of performance for projects may be from FY2015-FY2018.

Although ONR expects the above described program plan to be executed, ONR reserves the right to make changes.

B. Peer Reviews -

In the case of proposals funded as basic research, ONR may utilize peer reviewers from academia, industry, and Government agencies to assist in the periodic appraisal of performance under the awards, as outlined in ONR
Instruction 3966.1. Such periodic program reviews monitor the cost, schedule and technical performance of funded basic research efforts. The reviews are used in part to determine which basic research projects will receive continued ONR funding. Peer reviewers who are not U.S. Government employees must sign nondisclosure agreements before receiving full or partial copies of proposals and reports submitted by the basic research performers. Offerors may include travel costs for the Principal Investigator (PI) to attend the peer review.

C. Production and Testing of Prototypes -

In the case of funded proposals for the production and testing of prototypes, ONR may modify the contract to add a contract line item or contract option for the provision of advanced component development or for the delivery of additional prototype units. However, such a contract addition shall be subject to the limitations contained in Section 819 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

III. Eligibility Information

All responsible sources from academia and industry may submit proposals under this BAA. Inclusive of Small Business Concerns, Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone) Concerns, Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB) Concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) Concerns, Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB) Concerns, Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB) Concerns, and Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) and Minority Institutions (MIs) are all highly encouraged to submit proposals as prime contractors and as well as join others (e.g., subcontractors) in submitting proposals. However, no portion of this BAA will be set-aside for Small Businesses, HUBZones, SDVOSBs, SDBs, WOSBs, VOSBs or HBCU and MI participation, due to the desire to seek research ideas from all entities.

Federally Funded Research & Development Centers (FFRDCs), including Department of Energy National Laboratories, are not eligible to receive awards under this BAA. However, teaming arrangements between FFRDCs and eligible principal bidders are allowed so long as they are permitted under the sponsoring agreement between the Government and the specific FFRDC.

Navy laboratories and warfare centers as well as other Department of Defense and civilian agency laboratories are also not eligible to receive awards under this BAA and should not directly submit either white papers or full proposals in response to this BAA. If any such organization is interested in one or more of the programs described herein, the organization should contact an appropriate ONR POC to discuss its area of interest. The various scientific divisions of ONR are identified at http://www.onr.navy.mil/. As with FFRDCs, these types of federal organizations may team with other responsible sources from academia and industry that are submitting proposals under this BAA.

University Affiliated Research Centers (UARC) are eligible to submit proposals under this BAA unless precluded from doing so by their Department of Defense UARC contracts.

Teams are also encouraged and may submit proposals in any and all areas. However, Offerors must be willing to cooperate and exchange software, data and other information in an integrated program with other contractors, as well as with system integrators, selected by ONR.

Some topics cover export controlled technologies. Research in these areas is limited to "U.S. persons" as defined in the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) - 22 CFR § 1201.1 et seq.

Cost sharing is not expected and will not be used as a factor during the merit review of any proposal hereunder. However, the Government may consider voluntary cost sharing if proposed.
IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. Application and Submission Process - White Paper and Full Proposals

**White Papers:** Although not required, white papers are strongly encouraged for all offerors seeking funding. Each white paper will be evaluated by the Government to determine whether the technology advancement proposed appears to be of particular value to the Department of the Navy.

The due date for white papers is no later than 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) on Wednesday, 11 February 2015. White papers must be submitted to the ONR Code 30 secure upload site at [https://onroutside.onr.navy.mil/aspprocessor/hpte30](https://onroutside.onr.navy.mil/aspprocessor/hpte30). The naming convention should be in accordance with the scientific and technologies areas stated in Section F of this BAA. The site will provide a confirmation e-mail if the file has been successfully uploaded.

Initial Government evaluations and feedback will be issued via e-mail notification by Wednesday, 11 March 2015. The initial white paper evaluation is intended to give entities a sense of whether their concepts are likely to be funded.

**Full Proposals:** Detailed full proposals (technical and cost volumes) will be subsequently encouraged from those offerors whose proposed technologies have been identified through the above referenced e-mail as being of "particular value" to the Government. However, any such encouragement does not assure a subsequent award. Full proposals may also be submitted by any offeror whose white paper was not identified as being of particular value to the Government or any offeror who did not submit a white paper.

The due date for receipt of Full Proposals is 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) on Tuesday, 31 March 2015. Proposals exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated. Full proposals must be mailed to Dr. Peter Squire at the addressed provided under Section F. entitled “Address for the Submission of White Papers and Full Proposals for Contracts”.

The naming convention should be in accordance with the scientific and technologies areas stated in Section F of this BAA. The site will provide a confirmation e-mail if the file has been successfully uploaded. As soon as the final proposal evaluation process is completed, the offeror will be notified via e-mail of its selection or non-selection for an award. It is anticipated that final selections will be made on or about Thursday, 30 April 2015.

B. Content and Format of White Papers/Full Proposals -

White Papers and Full Proposals submitted under the BAA are expected to be unclassified; however, confidential/classified responses are permitted. If a classified proposal is submitted, the resultant contract will be unclassified.

An ‘unclassified’ Statement of Work (SOW) must accompany any classified proposal.

For both classified and unclassified proposals, a non-proprietary version of the Statement of Work must also be submitted. **Do not put proprietary data or markings in or on the Statement of Work.** For proposals containing data that the offeror does not want disclosed to the public for any purpose, or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes, the contractor shall mark the title page with the following legend:

“This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed--in whole or in part--for any purpose other than to evaluate the proposal. If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of--or in connection with--the
submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to
the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government’s right to
use information contained in this data if is obtained from another source without restriction. The data
subject to this restriction are contained in (insert numbers or other identification of sheets).”

Also, mark each sheet of data that the offeror wishes to restrict with the following legend:

“Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this
proposal.”

Unclassified Proposal Instructions:

Unclassified White Papers and Full Proposals shall be submitted in accordance with his Section.

Special Instructions for Classified White Papers and Proposals:

Classified White Papers and Full Proposals shall be submitted directly to the attention of ONR’s Document Control
Unit at the following address:

OUTSIDE ENVELOPE (no classification marking):
Office of Naval Research
Document Control Unit
ONR Code 43
875 North Randolph Street
Arlington, VA 22203-1995

The inner wrapper of the classified proposal should be addressed to the attention of Squire, Peter
(peter.squire@navy.mil), ONR Code 30 and marked in the following manner:

INNER ENVELOPE (stamped with the overall classification of the material)
Program: Human Performance Training and Education (HPT&E)
Office of Naval Research
Attn: Squire, Peter
ONR Code: 30
875 North Randolph Street
Arlington, VA 22203-1995

An 'unclassified' Statement of Work (SOW) must accompany any classified proposal.

Proposal submissions will be protected from unauthorized disclosure in accordance with FAR Subpart 15.207,
applicable law, and DoD/DoN regulations. Offerors are expected to appropriately mark each page of their
submission that contains proprietary information.

STATEMENT OF WORK

An 'unclassified' SOW must accompany any classified proposal. For both classified and unclassified proposals, a
non-proprietary version of the SOW must also be submitted

IMPORTANT NOTE: Titles given to the White Papers/Full Proposals should be descriptive of the work they cover
and not be merely a copy of the title of this solicitation.
a. **WHITE PAPERS**

**White Paper Format**
- Paper Size - 8.5 x 11 inch paper
- Margins - 1 inch
- Spacing - single spaced
- Font - Times New Roman, 12 point
- Maximum Number of Pages permitted: Four (4) pages (excluding cover page, resumes, bibliographies, and table of contents)
- Copies - One (1) electronic copy in Adobe PDF or Word 2007 shall be submitted to the ONR Code 30 secure upload site at [https://onroutside.onr.navy.mil/aspprocessor/hpte30](https://onroutside.onr.navy.mil/aspprocessor/hpte30). The naming convention should be in accordance with the scientific and technologies areas stated in Section F of this BAA. An email confirmation that the file was successfully uploaded will be sent to Performer’s email address provided.

**NOTE:**
1. Do not send hardcopies of White Papers (including Facsimiles) as only electronic submissions will be accepted and reviewed.
2. Do not send .ZIP files.
3. Do not send password protected files.

**White Paper Content**

- **Cover Page**: The Cover Page shall be labeled "WHITE PAPER", and shall include the BAA number, proposed title, Offeror’s administrative and technical points of contact, with telephone numbers, facsimile numbers, and e-mail addresses. The cover page shall be signed by an authorized officer.

- **White Paper Technical Content**: A description of the technology innovation and technical risk areas. Relevance of the proposed effort to the research areas described in Section F.

- **Operational Naval Concept**: A description of the project objectives, the concept of operation for the new capabilities to be delivered, and the expected operational performance improvements.

- **Operational Utility Assessment Plan**: A plan for demonstrating and evaluating the operational effectiveness of the Offeror's proposed products or processes in field experiments and/or tests in a simulated environment.

- **Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM)**. A ROM which describe the estimated costs of the proposed effort, to include program duration, broken out by Government Fiscal Year (01 October through 30 September). ROM should include costs for labor, travel, and other relevant costs.

- **Other Requirements**: Related corporate expertise.

b. **FULL PROPOSALS**

i. **INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTRACTS (Does not include Grants)**

Proposal Package: The following five (5) documents with attachments comprise a complete proposal package:
NOTE: The electronic file name for all documents submitted under this BAA must not exceed 68 characters in length, including the file name extension.

Items 1 – 5 above are located at: http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/contracts-proposal/. All have instructions imbedded into them that will assist in completing the documents. Also, both the Proposal Checklist and the Cost Proposal Spreadsheet require completion of cost-related information. Please note that attachments can be incorporated into the Proposal Checklist.

Offerors responding to this BAA must submit a separate list of all technical data or computer software that will be furnished to the Government with other than unlimited rights. The Government will assume unlimited rights if offerors fail to identify any intellectual property restrictions in their proposals. Include in this section all proprietary claims to results, prototypes, and/or deliverables. If no restrictions are intended, then the offeror should state “NONE.”

For proposals below the simplified acquisition threshold (less than or equal to $150K), the Technical Proposal Template and Proposal Checklist documents, and the Cost Proposal Spreadsheet are required. In addition, if a purchase order will be awarded, the effort will be fixed price. Purchase orders can also contain options, as long as the total amount of the base and all options does not exceed $150K.

The format requirements for any attachments are as follows:

- Paper Size- 8.5 x 11 inch paper
- Margins – 1 inch
- Spacing- single or double spaced
- Font- Times New Roman, 12 point

For proposed subcontracts or interorganizational transfers over $150,000, Offerors must provide a separate fully completed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet in support of the proposed costs. This spreadsheet, along with supporting documentation, must be provided either in a sealed envelope with the prime's proposal or via e-mail directly to both the Program Officer and the Business Point of Contact at the same time the prime proposal is submitted. The e-mail should identify the proposal title, the prime Offeror and that the attached proposal is a subcontract, and should include a description of the effort to be performed by the subcontractor.

Offerors should submit one (1) original hardcopy, plus one (1) electronic copy on CD-ROM of their proposal package. The electronic copy should be submitted in a secure, pdf-compatible format, except for the electronic file for the Cost Proposal Spreadsheet which should be submitted in a Microsoft Excel 2007 compatible format and the Statement of Work Template which must be submitted in Microsoft Word format. All attachments should be submitted in a secure, pdf-compatible format.

The secure pdf-compatible format is intended to prevent unauthorized editing of the proposal prior to any award. A password should not be required for opening the proposal document, but the Government must have the ability to print and copy text, images, and other content. Offerors may also submit their Technical Proposal Template and Content in an electronic file that allows for revision (preferably in Microsoft Word) to facilitate the
communication of potential revisions. Should an Offeror amend its proposal, the amended proposal should be submitted following the same hard and electronic copy guidance applicable to the original proposal.

Any proposed options that are identified in the Technical Proposal Template or Proposal Checklist documents, but are not fully priced out in the Cost Proposal Spreadsheet, will not be included in any resulting contract, cooperative agreement, or other transaction. If proposing options, they must be separately priced and separate spreadsheets should be provided for the base period and each option. In addition to providing summary by period of performance (base and any options), the Contractor is also responsible for providing a breakdown of cost for each task identified in the Statement of Work. The sum of all costs by task worksheets MUST equal the total cost summary.

The electronic submission of the Excel spreadsheet should be in a "useable condition" to aid the Government with its evaluation. The term "useable condition" indicates that the spreadsheet should visibly include and separately identify within each appropriate cell any and all inputs, formulas, calculations, etc. The Offeror should not provide "value only spreadsheets" similar to a hard copy.

Fixed Fees on ONR Contracts: The Government Objective is set in accordance with the DFARs 215.404-71. See the below table for range and normal values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Risk Factor</th>
<th>Contract Type</th>
<th>Assigned Value (Normal range)</th>
<th>Normal Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3% - 7% (2)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management/Cost Control (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3% - 7% (2)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Type Risk</td>
<td>Firm Fixed Price</td>
<td>2% - 6% (3)</td>
<td>3% - 5% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Type Risk</td>
<td>Cost Plus Fixed Fee</td>
<td>0% - 1% (2)</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Assign a weight (percentage) to each element according to its input to the total performance risk. The total of the two weights equal 100 percent.
2. Assign a weighting score relative to the Risk Factor.
3. Depends on the specific Contract Type (With/without financing, performance-based payments, and/or progress payments).
4. Depends on the specific Contract Type.

Technology Incentive (TI) is rarely utilized at ONR, because the contracts issued by ONR typically are not eligible for TI (See DFARs 215.404-71-2(c)(2)). Any consideration of TI requires strong and convincing justification in the proposal, which are then subject to negotiation and determination of a fair and reasonable fee, within the context of the specific award. Typically the range of fee is 5% to 7.5% on an ONR awarded contract.

For submission instructions, see sub-section F. Submission of White Papers and Full Proposals for Contracts, Cooperative Agreements, and Other Transaction Agreements.

ii. **INSTRUCTIONS FOR GRANTS**

The following information must be completed as follows in the SF 424 located on [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov) to ensure that the application is directed to the correct individual for review:

- **Block 4a, Federal Identifier** - Enter the previous ONR award number, or N00014 if the application is not a renewal or expansion of an existing award;
• **Block 4b, Agency Routing Number** - Enter the three (3) digit Program Office Code and the Program Officer’s name, last name first, in brackets (e.g., 300 [Squire, Peter]).
  o Where the Program Office Code only has two digits, add a “0” directly after the Code (e.g., Code 30 would be entered as 300)

Applicants who fail to provide a Program Officer code identifier may receive a notice that their proposal is rejected.

To attach the technical proposal in Grants.gov, download the application package
Click on "Research and Related Other Project Information"
Click on "Move form to Submission List"
Click on "Open Form"

You will see a new PDF document titled "Research & Related Other Project Information"
Block 7 is the Project Summary/Abstract -> click on "Add attachment" and attach the project summary/abstract. (You will not be able to type in the box, therefore, save the file you want to attach as Project Summary or Abstract).

Block 8 is the Project Narrative -> click on Add attachment and attach the technical proposal. (Save the file as Volume I- Technical Proposal since you will not be able to type in the box).

**Full Proposal Format - Volume 1 - Technical Proposal and Volume 2 - Cost Proposal**

- Paper Size - 8.5 x 11 inch paper
- Margins - 1 inch
- Spacing - single-spaced
- Font - Times New Roman, 12 point
- Number of Pages - Volume (Vol. 1) is limited to no more than ten (10) pages. Limitations within sections of the proposal, if any, are indicated in the individual descriptions shown below. The cover page, table of contents, resumes, and current and pending project and proposal submissions information are excluded from the page limitations. Full Proposals exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated. There are no page limitations to Volume 2.
- Copies - the full proposal should be submitted electronically at [http://www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov) as delineated in paragraph 5 below.

**NOTE:** The electronic file name for all documents submitted under this BAA must not exceed 68 characters in length, including the file name extension.

**Volume 1: Technical Proposal**

- **Cover Page**: This should include the words "Technical Proposal" and the following:
  1. BAA number ONR-BAA-15-0008;
  2. Title of Proposal;
  3. Identity of prime Offeror and complete list of subawards, if applicable;
  4. Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
  5. Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address);
  6. Proposed period of performance (identify both the base period and any options, if included);
  and
  7. Signature of Authorized Representative.
• **Table of Contents:** An alphabetical/numerical listing of the sections within the proposal, including corresponding page numbers.

• **Technical Approach and Justification:** The major portion of the proposal should consist of a clear description of the technical approach being proposed. This discussion should provide the technical foundation / justification for pursuing this particular approach / direction and why one would expect it to enable the objectives of the proposal to be met.

  o **Operational Naval Concept:** A description of the project objectives, the concept of operation for the new capabilities to be delivered, and the expected operational performance improvements.

  o **Operational Utility Assessment Plan:** A plan for demonstrating and evaluating the operational effectiveness of the Offeror's proposed products or processes in field experiments and/or tests in a simulated environment.

  o **Project Schedule and Milestones:** A summary of the schedule of events and milestones.

  o **Reports:**

    The following are sample reports that are typically required under a research effort:

    - Technical and Financial Progress Reports (Monthly)
    - Final Report

    Grants do not include the delivery of software, prototypes, and other hardware deliverables.

  o **Management Approach:** A discussion of the overall approach to the management of this effort, including brief discussions of the total organization; use of personnel; project/function/subcontractor/subrecipient relationships; government research interfaces; and planning, scheduling and control practice. Identify which personnel and subcontractors/subrecipients (if any) will be involved. Include a description of the facilities that are required for the proposed effort with a description of any Government Furnished Equipment/Hardware/Software/Information required, by version and/or configuration.

  o **Current and Pending Project and Proposal Submissions:** Offerors are required to provide information on all current and pending support for ongoing projects and proposals, including subsequent funding in the case of continuing contracts, grants, and other assistance agreements. Offerors shall provide the following information of any related proposal submissions from whatever sources (e.g., ONR, Federal, State, local or foreign government agencies, public or private foundations, industrial or other commercial organizations).

    The information must be provided for all proposals already submitted or submitted concurrently to other possible sponsors, including ONR. Concurrent submission of a proposal to other organizations will not prejudice its review by ONR:

    1) Title of Proposal and Summary;
    2) Source and amount of funding (annual direct costs; provide contract and/or grant numbers for current
contracts/grants);
3) Percentage effort devoted to each project;
4) Identity of prime Offeror and complete list of subawards, if applicable;
5) Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
6) Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address);
7) Duration of effort (differentiate basic effort);
8) The proposed project and all other projects or activities requiring a portion of time of the Principal
Investigator and other senior personnel must be included, even if they receive no salary support from the
project(s);
9) The total award amount for the entire award period covered (including indirect costs) must be shown
as well as the number of person-months or labor hours per year to be devoted to the project, regardless
of source of support; and
10) State how projects are related to the proposed effort and indicate degree of overlap.

○ Qualifications: A discussion of the qualifications of the proposed Principal Investigator and
any other key personnel. Include resumes for the Principal Investigator and other key
personnel and full curricula vitae for Principal Investigators and consultants. The resumes
and curricula vitae shall be attached to the proposal and will not count toward the page
limitations.

**VOLUME 2: Cost Proposal**

The offeror must use the Grants.gov forms (including the Standard Form (SF) Research and Related (R&R) Budget
Form) from the application package template associated with the BAA on the Grants.gov web Site located at
http://www.grants.gov/. If options are proposed, the cost proposal must provide the pricing information for the
option periods; failure to include the proposed costs for the option periods will result in the options not being
included in the award. Assume that performance will start no earlier than 6 months after the date the cost
proposal is submitted. A separate Adobe .pdf document should be included in the application that provides
appropriate justification and/or supporting documentation for each element of cost proposed.

**Part 1:** The itemized budget must include the following:

- **Direct Labor** - Individual labor categories or persons, with associated labor hours and unburdened direct
labor rates. Provide escalation rates for out years.

  Administrative and clerical labor – Salaries of administrative and clerical staff are normally indirect costs
  (and included in an indirect cost rate). Direct charging of these costs may be appropriate when a major
  project requires an extensive amount of administrative or clerical support significantly greater than
  normal and routine levels of support. Budgets proposing direct charging of administrative or clerical
  salaries must be supported with a budget justification which adequately describes the major project and
  the administrative and/or clerical work to be performed.

- **Fringe Benefits and Indirect Costs** - (i.e., F&A, Overhead, G&A, etc) - The proposal must show the rates
and calculation of the costs for each rate category. If the rates have been approved/negotiated by a
Government agency, provide a copy of the memorandum/agreement. If the rates have not been
approved/negotiated, provide sufficient detail to enable a determination of allowability, allocability and
reasonableness of the allocation bases, and how the rates are calculated. Additional information may be
requested, if needed. If composite rates are used, provide the calculations used in deriving the
composite rates.
• **Travel** - The proposed travel cost must include the following for each trip: the purpose of the trip, origin and destination if known, approximate duration, the number of travelers, and the estimated cost per trip must be justified based on the organizations historical average cost per trip or other reasonable basis for estimation. Such estimates and the resultant costs claimed must conform to the applicable Federal cost principals. Offerors may include travel costs for the Principal Investigator to attend the peer reviews described in Section II of this BAA.

• **Subawards/subcontracts** - Provide a description of the work to be performed by the subrecipient/subcontractor. For each subaward, a detailed cost proposal is required to be submitted by the subrecipient(s). A proposal and supporting documentation must be received and reviewed before the Government can complete its cost analysis of the proposal and enter negotiations. ONR's preferred method of receiving subcontract information is for this information to be included with the Prime's proposal. However, a subcontractor's cost proposal can be provided in a sealed envelope with the recipient's cost proposal or via e-mail directly to the Program Officer at the same time the prime proposal is submitted. The e-mail should identify the proposal title, the prime Offeror and that the attached proposal is a subcontract. See bullet below regarding Fee/profit guidance for subawards/subcontracts.

• **Consultants** - Provide a breakdown of the consultant's hours, the hourly rate proposed, any other proposed consultant costs, a copy of the signed Consulting Agreement or other documentation supporting the proposed consultant rate/cost, and a copy of the consultant's proposed statement of work if it is not already separately identified in the prime contractor's proposal.

• **Materials & Supplies** - Provide an itemized list of all proposed materials and supplies including quantities, unit prices, and the basis for the estimate (e.g., quotes, prior purchases, catalog price lists).

• **Recipient Acquired Equipment or Facilities** - Equipment and/or facilities are normally furnished by the Recipient. If acquisition of equipment and/or facilities is proposed, a justification for the purchase of the items must be provided. Provide an itemized list of all equipment and/or facilities costs and the basis for the estimate (e.g., quotes, prior purchases, catalog price lists). Allowable items normally are limited to research equipment not already available for the project. General purpose equipment (i.e., equipment not used exclusively for research, scientific or other technical activities, such as personal computers, laptops, office equipment) should not be requested unless they will be used primarily or exclusively for the project. For computer/laptop purchases and other general purpose equipment, if proposed, include a statement indicating how each item of equipment will be integrated into the program or used as an integral part of the research effort.

• **Other Direct Costs** - Provide an itemized list of all other proposed other direct costs such as Graduate Assistant tuition, laboratory fees, report and publication costs, and the basis for the estimate (e.g., quotes, prior purchases, catalog price lists).

**NOTE:** If the grant proposal is for a conference, workshop or symposium:

1. ONR will not sponsor ONR, Navy, or DoD driven event. Provide a list of other sponsors and the requested amounts to be funded by all sponsors.
2. The funds provided by ONR may be used to pay for food or beverages as a direct cost only in exceptional circumstances. The funds will not be used for food or beverages unless
   a. the grant proposal contains a request for such funding that is fully supported factually in accordance with the cost principles of the relevant OMB Circular, and
   b. the grants officer determines that the funding is a reasonable, allocable, allowable expense under the relevant cost principles.
• **Options** - The Base Period of Performance and Option Periods must be priced at the submission of the proposal. Unpriced options will not be included in any resulting award or agreement.

• **Fee/Profit** - Fee/profit is unallowable under assistance agreements at either the prime or subaward level but may be permitted on any subcontracts issued by the prime awardee.

**Part 2**: Cost breakdown by Government fiscal year and task/sub-task corresponding to the same task breakdown in the proposed Statement of Work. When options are contemplated, options must be separately identified and priced by task/subtask.

For submission instructions, see sub-section E. [Submission of Grant Proposals through Grants.gov](http://grants.gov).

**C. Significant Dates and Times –**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Paper Due Date</td>
<td>02/11/2015</td>
<td>4:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification of White Paper Evaluation*</td>
<td>03/11/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Proposal Due Date</td>
<td>03/31/2015</td>
<td>4:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification of Selection: Full Proposals*</td>
<td>04/30/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards*</td>
<td>10/15/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These dates are estimates as of the date of this announcement.*

**NOTE**: Due to changes in security procedures since September 11, 2001, the time required for hard-copy written materials to be received at the Office of Naval Research has increased. Materials submitted through the U.S. Postal Service, for example, may take seven days or more to be received, even when sent by Express Mail. Thus any hard-copy proposal should be submitted long enough before the deadline established in the solicitation so that it will not be received late and thus be ineligible for award consideration.

**D. Submission of Late Proposals -**

Any proposal, modification, or revision that is received at the designated Government office after the exact time specified for receipt of proposals is "late" and will not be considered unless it is received before award is made, the contracting officer determines that accepting the late proposal would not unduly delay the acquisition and:

a. If it was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the announcement, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 P.M. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals; or

b. There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of proposals and was under the Government's control prior to the time set for receipt of proposals; or

c. It was the only proposal received.

However, a late modification of an otherwise timely and successful proposal that makes its terms more favorable to the Government, as determined by the contracting officer, will be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.
Acceptable evidence to establish the time or receipt at the Government installation includes the time/date stamp of that installation on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.

If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the Government office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the announcement, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the announcement closing date, the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the announcement on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume.

The contracting officer must promptly notify any offeror if its proposal, modifications, or revision was received late and must inform the offeror whether its proposal will be considered.

E. Submission of Grant Proposals through Grants.gov


White Papers should not be submitted through the Grants.gov Apply process, but rather should be sent directly to ONR. White Papers should be uploaded to the Code 30 secure upload site. White Paper format requirements are found in Section IV, item 2a above.

By completing Block 17, the Grant Applicant is providing the certification on lobbying required by 32 CFR Part 28. Refer to Section VI, "Award Administration Information" entitled "Certifications" for further information.

For electronic submission of grant full proposals, there are several one-time actions that must be completed in order to submit an application through Grants.gov. These include obtaining a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number, registering with the System for Award Management (SAM), registering with the credential provider, and registering with Grants.gov. See www.grants.gov, specifically www.grants.gov/GetStarted.

Use the Grants.gov Organization Registration Checklist at http://www.grants.gov/applicants/register_your_organization.jsp which will provide guidance through the process. Designating an E-Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called 'MPIN' are important steps in the SAM registration process. Applicants who are not registered with SAM.gov and Grants.gov should allow at least 21 days to complete these requirements. The process should be started as soon as possible. Any questions relating to the registration process, system requirements, how an application form works, or the submittal process must be directed to Grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 (1-606-545-5035 for foreign applicants) or support@grants.gov.

Special Notices Relative to Grant Applications to be submitted through Grants.Gov:

All attachments to grant applications submitted through Grants.Gov must be in Adobe Portable Document Format (i.e., .PDF files). Proposals with attachments submitted in word processing, spreadsheet, or any format other than Adobe Portable Document Format will not be considered for award.

Applicants who have registered with Grants.gov are urged to submit their proposals electronically at least three days before the date and time that proposals are due so that they will not be received late and be ineligible for award consideration.
Proposal Receipt Notices:

After a full proposal is submitted through Grants.gov, the Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) will receive a series of three e-mails. It is extremely important that the AOR watch for and save each of the e-mails. You will know that your proposal has reached ONR when the AOR receives e-mail Number 3. You will need the Submission Receipt Number (e-mail Number 1) to track a submission. The three e-mails are:

Number 1 - The applicant will receive a confirmation page upon completing the submission to Grants.gov. This confirmation page is a record of the time and date stamp that is used to determine whether the proposal was submitted.

Number 2 - The applicant will receive an e-mail indicating that the proposal has been validated by Grants.gov within two days of submission (this means that all of the required fields have been completed). After an institution submits an application, Grants.gov generates a submission receipt via email and also sets the application status to "Received." This receipt verifies the Application has been successfully delivered to the Grants.gov system. Next, Grants.gov verifies the submission is valid by ensuring it does not contain viruses, the opportunity is still open, and the applicant login and applicant DUNS number match. If the submission is valid, Grants.gov generates a submission validation receipt via email and sets the application status to "Validated." If the application is not validated, the application status is set to "Rejected." The system sends a rejection email notification to the institution, and the institution must resubmit the application package. Applicants can track the status of their application by logging in to Grants.gov.

Number 3 - The third notice is an acknowledgement of receipt in e-mail form from ONR within ten days from the proposal due date, if applicable. The e-mail is sent to the authorized representative for the institution. The e-mail for proposals notes that the proposal has been received and provides the assigned tracking number.

F. Address for the Submission of White Papers and Full Proposals for Contracts.

All White Papers must be submitted to the ONR 30 secure upload site at https://onroustide.onr.navy.mil/aspprocessor/hpte30/. An e-mail confirmation that the file was successfully uploaded will be sent to the Performer’s email address provided. Please use the following naming convention to ensure routing to the appropriate topic author(s):

Format: ONR-15-BAA-0008_ONR POC_Brief Description_Your Name_DateSubmitted

The hard copy and the CD-ROM of the Full Proposal shall be sent to the Office of Naval Research as indicated below. All supporting documentation should be submitted with the DVD or CD-ROM of the Full Proposal. No other submission method (including hand carried proposals) will be accepted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address for the Submission of Full Proposals for Contracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Naval Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. Peter Squire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONR Department Code: 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875 North Randolph Street - Suite 1057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington, VA 22203-1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. EVALUATION INFORMATION

A. Evaluation Criteria -

Awards under this BAA will be made to proposers on the basis of the evaluation criteria listed below, and program balance to provide overall value to the Government. The Government reserves the right to request any additional, necessary documentation once it makes the award instrument determination. The Government reserves the right to remove proposers from award consideration should the parties fail to reach agreement on award terms, conditions, and cost/price within a reasonable time, or the proposer fails to timely provide requested additional information. Evaluations will be conducted using the following evaluation criteria:

1. Overall scientific and technical merits of the proposal;
2. The qualifications, capabilities and experience of the proposed Principal Investigator (PI), team leader and key personnel who are critical in achieving the proposal objectives;
3. The offeror's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques or unique combinations of these which are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives;
4. Potential Naval relevance and contributions of the effort to the agency's specific mission; and
5. The realism of the proposed costs and availability of funds.

Criteria 1 through 4 are significantly more important than Criterion 5, and Criteria 1 through 4 are of equal value.

The primary basis for selecting proposals for acceptance shall be technical, importance to agency programs, and fund availability. Cost realism and reasonableness shall also be considered to the extent appropriate.

The ultimate recommendation for award of proposals is made by ONR's scientific/technical community. Recommended proposals will be forwarded to the ONR Contracts and Grant Awards Management office. Any notification received from ONR that indicates that the Offeror's full proposal has been recommended does not ultimately guarantee an award will be made. This notice indicates that the proposal has been selected in accordance with the evaluation criteria above and has been sent to the contracting department to conduct cost analysis, determine the offeror's responsibility, and to take other relevant steps necessary prior to commencing negotiations with the offeror.

B. Commitment to Small Business - (For Contract Awards Only)

The Office of Naval Research is strongly committed to providing meaningful subcontracting opportunities for small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses (SDBs), woman-owned small businesses (WOSBs), historically underutilized business zone (HUBZone) small businesses, veteran-owned small business (VOSBs), service disabled veteran-owned small businesses (SDVOSBs), historically black colleges and universities, and minority institutions, and other concerns subject to socioeconomic considerations through its awards.

Businesses unfamiliar with doing business with the government and require assistance may contact the state-specific Department of Defense (DoD) Procurement Technical Assistance Center (PTAC). DoD PTACs serve as a resource for businesses pursuing and performing under contracts with DoD, other federal agencies, state and local governments and with government prime contractors. Assistance provided by the PTACs is usually free of charge. PTAC support includes registration in systems such as SAM, identification of contract opportunities, understanding requirements and preparing and submitting proposals. The PTACs have a presence in each state, Puerto and Guam. To locate a local PTAC visit: http://www.dla.mil/SmallBusiness/Pages/ProcurementTechnicalAssistanceCenters.aspx or http://www.aptac-us.org/new/.
1) Subcontracting Plan - For proposed awards to be made as contracts that exceed $650,000, large businesses and non-profits (including educational institutions) shall provide a Subcontracting Plan (hereafter known as the ‘Plan’) that contains all elements required by FAR Subpart 19.704, FAR 52.219-9 and as supplemented by DFARs 252.219-7003.

   **NOTE: Small businesses are exempt from this requirement.**

   The Plan must be submitted as an attachment to the “Proposal Checklist” and will not be included in the page count. If a company has a Master Subcontracting Plan, as described in FAR 19.701 or a Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan, as described in DFARs 219.702, a copy of the Plan shall also be submitted as an attachment to the “Proposal Checklist”.

   Plans will be reviewed for adequacy, ensuring that the required information, goals, and assurances are included. FAR 19.702 require the apparently successful offeror to submit an acceptable Plan. If the apparently successful offeror fails to negotiate a Plan acceptable to the contracting officer within the time limit prescribed by the contracting officer, the offeror will be ineligible for award.

   Offerors shall propose a plan that ensures small businesses (inclusive of SDBs, WOSBs, HUBZone, VOSBs and SDVOSBs, etc…) will have the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in contract performance consistent with its efficient performance.

   As a baseline, offerors shall to the best extent possible propose realistic goals to ensure small business participation in accordance with the current or most recent fiscal year subcontracting goals found on the DoD Office of Small Business Program website at: http://www.acq.osd.mil/osbp/. If proposed goals are below the statutory requirements, then the offeror shall included in the Plan a viable written explanation as to why small businesses are unable to be utilized and what attempts were taken to ensure that small business were given the opportunity to participate in the effort to the maximum extent practicable.

2) Small Business Participation Statement –

   If subcontracting opportunities exist, all prime Offerors shall submit a Small Business Participation Statement regardless of size in accordance with DFARs 215.304 when receiving a contract for more than the simplified acquisition threshold (i.e., $150,000). All offerors shall provide a statement of the extent of the offeror’s commitment in providing meaningful subcontracting opportunities for small businesses and other concerns subject to socioeconomic considerations through its awards and must agree that small businesses, VOSBs, SDVOSBs, HUBZones, SDBs, and WOSBs concerns will have to the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in contract performance consistent with its efficient performance.

   This assertion will be reviewed to ensure that it supports this policy by providing meaningful subcontracting opportunities. The statement should be submitted as a part of the proposal package and will not be included in the page count.

3) Subcontracting Resources –

   Subcontracting to a prime contractor can be a good way to participate in the contracting process. The following is a list of potential resources that may assist in locating potential subcontracting partners/opportunities:

   - Companies Participating in DoD Subcontracting Program Report
   - DAU Small Business Community of Practice (SB COP)
   - DefenseLink = $6.5M Award Notices
   - DoD OSBP Prime Contractors and Subcontractors with Subcontracting Plans
   - Dynamic Small Business Search
- Electronic Subcontracting Reporting System (eSRS)
- Federal Business Opportunities (FEDBIZOPPS)
- Navy SBIR/STTR Search – Website or Brochure
- DoD Procurement Technical Assistance Centers (PTAC)
- Small Business Administration (SBA) Subcontracting Opportunities Directory
- SBA Subnet

For a description and associated websites visit the ONR Office of Small Business webpage at:

For example, in accordance with FAR Subpart 5.206, entities may transmit a notice to a Government Point of Entry (GPE) to seek competition for subcontracts and to increase participation by qualified HUBZone small business, small, small disadvantaged business, women-owned small business, veteran-owned small business and service-disabled veteran-owned small business concerns is encouraged, and to meet established subcontracting plan goal as follows:

- (a) A contractor awarded a contract exceeding $150,000 that is likely to result in the award of any subcontracts;
- (b) A subcontractor or supplier, at any tier, under a contract exceeding $150,000, that has a subcontracting opportunity exceeding $15,000.

The notices must describe:

- (a) The business opportunity;
- (b) Any prequalification requirements; and
- (c) Where to obtain technical data needed to respond to the requirement.

An example of a GPE is the SBA SUB-Net which is a place in which prime contractors may post solicitations or sources sought notices for small business. The SUB-Net database provides a listing of subcontracting solicitations and opportunities posted by large prime contractors and other non-federal agencies.

C. Options -

The Government will evaluate options for award purposes by adding the total cost for all options to the total cost for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the options during the period of performance.

D. Evaluation Panel -

Technical and cost proposals submitted under this BAA will be protected from unauthorized disclosure in accordance with FAR 3.104-4 and 15.207. The cognizant Program Officer and other Government scientific experts will perform the evaluation of technical proposals. Restrictive notices notwithstanding, one or more support contractors may be utilized as subject-matter-expert technical consultants. However, proposal selection and award decisions are solely the responsibility of Government personnel. Each support contractor’s employee having access to technical and cost proposals submitted in response to this BAA will be required to sign a non-disclosure statement prior to receipt of any proposal submissions.

VI. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code - The NAICS code for this announcement is
"541712" with a small business size standard of "500 employees".

**B. System for Award Management (SAM):** All Offerors submitting proposals or applications must:

a. be registered in the SAM prior to submission;

b. maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application under consideration by any agency; and

c. provide its DUNS number in each application or proposal it submits to the agency.

The System for Award Management (SAM) is a FREE WEBSITE that consolidates the capabilities you used to find in CCR/FedReg, ORCA, and EPLS. Future phases of SAM will add the capabilities of other systems used in Federal procurement and awards processes. SAM may be accessed at [https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/](https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/).

**C. Access to your Grant and Contract Award**

Effective 01 October 2011, hard copies of award/modification documents are no longer be mailed to Offerors. All Office of Naval Research (ONR) award/modification documents will be available via the Department of Defense (DoD) Electronic Document Access System (EDA).

EDA is a web-based system that provides secure online access, storage, and retrieval of awards and modifications to DoD employees and vendors.

If you do not currently have access to EDA, complete a self-registration request as a "Vendor" via [http://eda.ogden.disa.mil](http://eda.ogden.disa.mil) following the steps below:

Click "New User Registration" (from the left Menu)
Click "Begin VENDOR User Registration Process"
Click "EDA Registration Form" under Username/Password (enter the appropriate data)
Complete & Submit Registration form

Allow five (5) business days for your registration to be processed. EDA will notify you by email when your account is approved.

Registration questions may be directed to the EDA help desk toll free at 1-866-618-5988, Commercial at 801-605-7095, or via email at [cscassig@csd.disa.mil](mailto:cscassig@csd.disa.mil) (Subject: EDA Assistance)

**VII. OTHER INFORMATION**

**A. Applies to Grant applications only:**

i. **Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006:**

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), as amended by Section 6202 of Public Law 110-252, requires that all agencies establish requirements for recipients reporting information on subawards and executive total compensation as codified in 2 CFR 33.110. Any company, non-profit agency or university that applies for financial assistance (either grants, cooperative agreements or other transaction agreements) as either a prime or sub-recipient under this BAA must provide information in their proposal that describes the necessary processes and systems in place to comply with the reporting requirements identified in 2 CFR 33.220 and Appendix A. Entities are exempt from this requirement UNLESS in the preceding fiscal year, it received: a) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenue in Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants), and
cooperative agreements; b) $25 million or more in annual gross revenue from Federal contracts (and subcontracts), loans, grants (and subgrants), and cooperative agreements; and c) the public does not have access to information about the compensation of the senior executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

ii. Military Recruiting On Campus (DoDGARS Part 22.520)

This applies to domestic U. S. colleges and universities. Appropriate language from 32 CFR 22.520 Campus access for military recruiting and Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) will be incorporated in all university grant awards.

iii. Certification regarding Restrictions on Lobbying:

Grant and Cooperative Agreement awards greater than $100,000, as well as OTAs not under Section 845, require a certification of compliance with a national policy mandate concerning lobbying. Grant, applicants shall provide this certification by electronic submission of SF424 (R&R) as a part of the electronic proposal submitted via Grants.gov (complete Block 17). The following certification applies likewise to each Cooperative Agreement and normal OTA applicant seeking federal assistance funds exceeding $100,000:

1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the applicant, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the applicant shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, “Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying,” in accordance with its instructions.

3. The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S.C. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

iv. Representation Regarding an Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability or a Felony Conviction Under any Federal Law - DOD Appropriations:

All grant applicants are required to complete the "Representation on Tax Delinquency and Felony Conviction" found at http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/grants-proposal.aspx by checking the "I agree" box in block 17 and attaching the
representation to block 18. of the SF424 (R&R) as part of the electronic proposal submitted via Grants.gov. The representation reads as follows:

1. The applicant represents that it is ___ is not___ a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

2. The applicant represents that it is__ is not __a corporation that was convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months.

NOTE: If an applicant responds in the affirmative to either of the above representations, the applicant is ineligible to receive an award unless the agency suspension and debarment official (SDO) has considered suspension or debarment and determined that further action is not required to protect the Government’s interests. The applicant therefore should provide information about its tax liability or conviction to the agency's SDO as soon as it can do so, to facilitate completion of the required consideration before award decisions are made.

B. Applies to Contracts only:

i. Government Property/Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) and Facilities

Government research facilities and operational military units are available and should be considered as potential government-furnished equipment/facilities. These facilities and resources are of high value and some are in constant demand by multiple programs. It is unlikely that all facilities would be used for any one specific program. The use of these facilities and resources will be negotiated as the program unfolds. Offerors submitting proposals for contracts, cooperative agreements and Other Transaction Agreements should indicate in the Technical Proposal Template, Section II, Blocks 8 and 9, which of these facilities are critical for the project’s success. Offerors submitting proposals for grants should address the need for government-furnished facilities in their technical proposal.

ii. Use of Arms, Ammunition and Explosives:

Safety

"The Offeror is required to be in compliance with DoD manual 4145.26-M, DoD Contractor's Safety Manual for Ammunition and Explosives if ammunitions and/or explosives are to be utilized under the proposed research effort. (See DFARs 223.370-5 and DFARs 252.223-7002) If ammunitions and/or explosives (A&E) are to be utilized under the proposed research effort, the Government requires a preaward safety survey in accordance with DFARs PGI 223.370-4(C)(iv) entitled Preaward survey. The Offeror is solely responsible for contacting the cognizant DCMA office and obtaining a required preaward safety survey before proposal submission. The Offeror should include required preaward safety surveys with proposal submissions. If the Offeror proposes that the Government provide Government-furnished A&E containing any nitrocellulose-based propellants and/or nitrate ester-based materials (such as nitroglycerin,) or other similar A&E with a tendency to become chemically unstable over time, then NMCARS 5252.223-9000 will also apply to a resulting contract award. (See NMCARS 5223.370-5)

Security

If arms, ammunition and explosives (AA&E) are to be utilized under the proposed research effort, the Government requires a preaward security survey. The Offeror is solely responsible for
contacting the cognizant DCMA office and obtaining a required preaward security survey before proposal submission. The Offeror should include a required preaward security survey with proposal submission. (See DoD manual 5100.76-M, Physical Security of Sensitive Conventional Arms, Ammunition and Explosives, paragraph C1.3.1.4)

If AA&E are to be utilized under the proposed research effort, the Government may require the Contractor to have perimeter fencing around the place of performance in accordance with DoD 5100.76-M, Appendix 2.

If AA&E are to be utilized under the research effort, the Offeror is required to provide a written copy of the Offeror's AA&E accountability procedures in accordance with DoD 5100.76-M. If the Offeror is required to provide written AA&E accountability procedures, the Offeror should provide the respective procedures with its proposal submission. See DoD 5100.76-M Appendix 2.12.

iii. System for Award Management (SAM):

FAR 52.204-7 System for Award Management and FAR 52.204-13 System for Award Management Maintenance are incorporated into this BAA, and FAR 52.204-13 will be incorporated in all awards.

iv. Employment Eligibility Verification:

As per FAR 22.1802, recipients of FAR-based procurement contracts must enroll as Federal Contractors in E-verify and use E-verify to verify employment eligibility of all employees assigned to the award. All resultant contracts from this solicitation will include FAR 52.222-54, "Employment Eligibility Verification."

v. FAR / DFARs Provisions:

For purposes of illustration and not of limitation, provisions that, as applicable, may be incorporated into an ONR contract resulting from this BAA include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52.204-7</td>
<td>System for Award Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.215-16</td>
<td>Facilities Capital Cost of Money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.215-22</td>
<td>Limitations on Pass Through Charges - Identification of Subcontract Effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.216-1</td>
<td>Type of Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.216-27</td>
<td>Single or Multiple Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.217-4</td>
<td>Evaluation of Options Exercised at time of Contract Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.217-5</td>
<td>Evaluation of Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.222-24</td>
<td>Preaward On-Site Equal Opportunity Compliance Evaluation (Applies if exceeds $10M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.226-2</td>
<td>Historically Black College or University and Minority Institution Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.230-7</td>
<td>Proposal Disclosure - Cost Accounting Practice Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.232-15</td>
<td>Progress Payments not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.233-2</td>
<td>Service of Protest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.252-1</td>
<td>Solicitation Provisions Incorporated by Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.252-3</td>
<td>Alterations in Solicitation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vi. Combating Trafficking in Persons

Appropriate language from FAR Clause 52.222-50 will be incorporated in all awards.

vii. Updates of Information regarding Responsibility Matters

FAR clause 52.209-9, Updates of Publicly Available Information Regarding Responsibility Matter, will be included in all contracts valued at $500,000 where the contractor has current active Federal contracts and grants with total value greater than $10,000,000.

C. Applies to all:

i. Security Classification

In order to facilitate intra-program collaboration and technology transfer, the Government will attempt to enable technology developers to work at the unclassified level to the maximum extent possible. Normally, work done under a grant does not require access to classified material. If it is determined that access to classified information will be required during the performance of an award, a Department of Defense (DD) Form 254 will be attached to the contract; and FAR 52.204-2 - Security Requirements will be incorporated into the contract. The Offeror must clearly identify such need by completing Section II, Block 11, DD 254 – Security Classification Specification, of the Proposal Checklist.

If it is determined that access to classified information will be required during the performance of an award, a Department of Defense (DD) Form 254 will be attached to the contract; and FAR 52.204-2 – Security Requirements will be incorporated into the contract.

**ONR does not provide access to classified material under grants.**

ii. Use of Animals and Human Subjects in Research

If animals are to be utilized in the research effort proposed, the Offeror must complete a DoD Animal Use Protocol with supporting documentation (copies of AALAC accreditation and/or NIH assurance, IACUC approval, research literature database searches, and the two most recent USDA inspection reports) prior to award. For assistance with submission of animal research related documentation, contact the ONR Animal Use Administrator at (703) 696-4046.

Similarly, for any proposal for research involving human subjects, the Offeror must submit or indicate an intention to submit prior to award: documentation of approval from an Institutional Review Board (IRB); IRB-approved research protocol; IRB-approved informed consent form; proof of completed human research training (e.g., training certificate or institutional verification of training); an application for a DoD-Navy Addendum to the Offeror’s DHHS-issued Federal wide
Assurance (FWA) or the Offeror’s DoD-Navy Addendum. In the event that an exemption criterion under 32 CFR.219.101 (b) is claimed, provide documentation of the determination by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) Chair, IRB vice Chair, designated IRB administrator or official of the human research protection program including the category of exemption and short rationale statement. Determinations that the activity is not research involving human subjects must also be provided. This documentation must be submitted to the ONR Human Research Protection Official (HRPO), by way of the ONR Program Officer. Information about assurance applications and forms can be obtained by contacting ONR_343_contact@navy.mil. If the research is determined by the IRB to be greater than minimal risk, the Offeror also must provide the name and contact information for the independent medical monitor. For assistance with submission of human subject research related documentation, contact the ONR Human Research Protection Official at (703) 696-4046.

For contracts and orders, the award and execution of the contract, order, or modification to an existing contract or order serves as notification from the Contracting Officer to the Contractor that the HRPO has approved the assurance as appropriate for the research under the Statement of Work and also that the HRPO has reviewed the protocol and accepted the IRB approval or exemption determination for compliance with the DoD Component policies. See, DFARs 252.235-7004.

iii. Recombinant DNA

Proposals which call for experiments using recombinant DNA must include documentation of compliance with Department of Human and Health Services (DHHS) recombinant DNA regulations, approval of the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC), and copies of the DHHS Approval of the IBC letter.

iv. Department of Defense High Performance Computing Program

The DoD High Performance Computing Program (HPCMP) furnishes the DoD S&T and RDT&E communities with use-access to very powerful high performance computing systems. Awardees of ONR contracts, grants, and assistance instruments may be eligible to use HPCMP assets in support of their funded activities if ONR Program Officer approval is obtained and if security/screening requirements are favorably completed. Additional information and an application may be found at http://www.hpcmo.hpc.mil/.

v. Organizational Conflicts of Interest

All Offerors and proposed subcontractors must affirm whether they are providing scientific, engineering, and technical assistance (SETA) or similar support to any ONR technical office(s) through an active contract or subcontract. All affirmations must state which office(s) the offeror supports and identify the prime contract numbers. Affirmations shall be furnished at the time of proposal submission. All facts relevant to the existence or potential existence of organizational conflicts of interest (FAR 9.5) must be disclosed. The disclosure shall include a description of the action the offeror has taken or proposes to take to avoid, neutralize, or mitigate such conflict. Unless a waiver is granted under FAR 9.503, a contractor cannot simultaneously be a SETA and a research and development performer. Proposals that fail to fully disclose potential conflicts of interests or do not have acceptable plans to mitigate identified conflicts will be rejected without technical evaluation and withdrawn from further consideration for award. Additional ONR OCI guidance can be found at http://www.onr.navy.mil/About-ONR/compliance-protections/Organizational-Conflicts-Interest.aspx. If a prospective offeror believes that any
conflict of interest exists or may exist (whether organizational or otherwise), the offeror should promptly raise the issue with ONR by sending his/her contact information and a summary of the potential conflict by e-mail to the Business Point of Contact in Section I, item 7 above, before time and effort are expended in preparing a proposal and mitigation plan. If, in the sole opinion of the Government after full consideration of the circumstances, any conflict situation cannot be effectively avoided, the proposal may be rejected without technical evaluation and withdrawn from further consideration for award under this BAA.

vi. Project Meetings and Reviews

Individual program reviews between the ONR sponsor and the performer may be held as necessary. Program status reviews may also be held to provide a forum for reviews of the latest results from experiments and any other incremental progress towards the major demonstrations. These meetings will be held at various sites throughout the country. For costing purposes, offerors should assume that 40% of these meetings will be at or near ONR, Arlington, VA and 60% at other contractor or government facilities. Interim meetings are likely, but these will be accomplished via video telephone conferences, telephone conferences, or via web-based collaboration tools.

vii. Executive Compensation and First-Tier Subcontract Reporting (APPLIES ONLY TO CONTRACTS)

The FAR clause 52.204-10, "Reporting Executive Compensation and First-Tier Subcontract Awards," will be used in all procurement contracts valued at $25,000 or more. A similar award term will be used in all grants and cooperative agreements.